Town of Hilton Head Island Regular Design Review Board Meeting

Tuesday, June 12, 2018 - 1:15 p.m. Benjamin M. Racusin Council Chambers

Agenda

As a Courtesy to Others Please Turn Off All Cell Phones and Pagers during the Meeting.

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Freedom of Information Act Compliance

Public notification of this meeting has been published, posted, and mailed in compliance with the Freedom of Information Act and the Town of Hilton Head Island requirements.
4. Approval of Agenda
5. Approval of Minutes - Meeting of May 22, 2018
6. New Business
A. Alteration/Addition

- Mullet’s, DRB-001325-2018 (Approved January 9, 2018)
- Shelter Cove Plaza, DRB-001335-2018
B. New Development - Conceptual
- Wimbledon, DRB-001339-2018 (Withdrawn March 13, 2018)

7. Board Business
8. Staff Report
9. Appearance by Citizens
10. Adjournment

Please note that a quorum of Town Council may result if four or more of their members attend this meeting.

# Town of Hilton Head Island Minutes of the Design Review Board Meeting <br> May 22, 2018 at 1:15 p.m. <br> Benjamin M. Racusin Council Chambers 

Board Members Present: Chairman Jake Gartner, Brian Witmer, Ron Hoffman, Kyle Theodore, Michael Gentemann

Board Members Excused: Vice Chairman Dale Strecker, Debbie Remke
Town Council Present: None
Town Staff Present: Carolyn Grant, Communications Director; Anne Cyran, Senior Planner; Taylor Ladd, Senior Planner; Chris Darnell, Urban Designer; Teresa Haley, Senior Administrative Assistant

1. Call to Order

Chairman Gartner called to order the regular meeting of the Design Review Board at 1:15 p.m.
2. Roll Call - See as noted above.
3. Freedom of Information Act Compliance

The Town has met all Freedom of Information Act requirements for this meeting.

## 4. Approval of the Agenda

The Board approved the agenda by general consent.
5. Approval of Minutes - April 24, 2018

The Board approved the minutes of the April 24, 2018 meeting by general consent.

## 6. New Business

## A. Alteration/Addition

- The Pink Pineapple, DRB-001160-2018

Mr. Darnell introduced the project and described its location. Mr. Darnell presented an in depth narrative of the project as provided in the Board's packet. Staff recommends denial of the application as submitted and added the following comments:

1. SW 6302 "Innocence" is not a nature blending color and not in keeping with Island Character.
2. Taking the color of the adjacent units into consideration, Staff does not think the proposed color will work on this elevation. The proposed color is too light and will wash out that part of the shopping center.
3. The applicant should consider a darker color for the building that would offset pink as an accent on the sign.

Chairman Gartner asked if the applicant would like to add to Staff's narrative. The applicant presented statements regarding the project and answered questions presented by the Board.

Chairman Gartner requested comments from the Board. The Board asked if Staff has the proposed colors on file for this shopping center. The proposed colors are not on file for the shopping center. The Board indicated that indoors the proposed body color reads as white and needs some depth to it. Further, the proposed body color is too pink and does not meet the criteria of a nature blending color. The body color will be the dominant color so it's required to be an earth tone. Non-nature blending tones could be used as accent colors or on a sign. The applicant proposed alternate colors, SW 7073 Network Gray for the body color, and SW 7070 Site White as the trim color. The Board favored these nature blending colors.

Ms. Theodore made a motion to approve DRB-001160-2018 with the following conditions:

1. The color of the body of the building shall be SW 7073 Network Gray.
2. The trim color shall be SW 7070 Site White.

Mr. Hoffman seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

- Marshpoint, DRB-001174-2018 (Approved March 13, 2018)

Mr. Darnell introduced the project and described its location. Mr. Darnell presented an in depth narrative of the project as provided in the Board's packet. The applicant is requesting the addition of SW 7748 "Green Earth" on specific buildings to the previously approved color palette change approved on March 13, 2018. Staff recommends approval as submitted.

Chairman Gartner asked if the applicant would like to add to Staff's narrative. The applicant confirmed the plan is to alternate the colors of the buildings with Superior Bronze and Green Earth.

Chairman Gartner requested comments from the Board. The Board thanked the applicant for submitting color renderings of the proposal. The Board complimented the additional color.

Mr. Gentemann made a motion to approve DRB-001174-2018 as submitted. Ms. Theodore seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

- Shops at Port Royal, DRB-001057-2018

Mr. Darnell introduced the project and described its location. Mr. Darnell presented an in depth narrative of the project as provided in the Board's packet. Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. The roof shall be standing seam in "Medium Bronze".
2. The band on the building shall match the proposed roof color.
3. The red color (Colonial Red) shall be changed to Medium Bronze (roof and bands).

Chairman Gartner asked if the applicant would like to add to Staff's narrative. The applicant presented statements regarding the project and answered questions presented by the Board.

Chairman Gartner requested comments from the Board. The Board agreed with the Staff comments. The Board asked if the entire site will be reroofed. The site is not being reroofed. Only the promenade structures will be replaced with a standing seam metal roof. The red color
is no longer a part of the application. The Board agreed with switching the Colonial Red with Medium Bronze. The Board asked the locations of the two proposed gray colors. Designer Grey is the predominant color. Gray Mountain is proposed for the tiny bands and wrapping the columns. The base of the storefront will be bronze to match the roof. The Board asked what the underside of the roof will be. The applicant stated the plan is to paint the underside "Roman Column". The Board expressed concern for not seeing what's proposed for the underside of the structure. The Board expressed wanting to see renderings that show all of the colors as proposed.

Mr. Gentemann made a motion to approve DRB-001057-2018 with the following conditions:

1. The roof shall be standing seam in "Medium Bronze".
2. The band above the storefronts shall be a "Medium Bronze" color to match the roof color in lieu of the submitted "Colonial Red".
3. The "Designer Grey" color shall be used for the entire underside of the structures.

Ms. Theodore seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

## B. New Development - Final

- Starbucks, DRB-001166-2018 (Conceptual Approval March 27, 2018)
(Ms. Theodore recused herself from DRB-001166-2018 due to a professional conflict of interest. A Conflict of Interest form was completed, signed, and made a part of the record.)

Mr. Darnell introduced the project and described its location. Mr. Darnell presented an in depth narrative of the project as provided in the Board's packet. The applicant addressed the DRB conceptual comments by reducing the size of the wall sconces at the two exit/entry points of the building. The light fixtures below the transom windows along the rear and sides of the building were reduced in size and their mounting height were increased to match the mounting height of the light fixtures at the exit/entry locations. Since conceptual approval, Starbucks requested to reduce the amount of storefront on the East Elevation by 11 feet and change the exterior wall surface to hardie siding. Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. Remove curb beyond existing edge of asphalt within dripline of the 26 Live Oak at William Hilton Parkway.
2. Add bollard to protect ground from compaction within dripline of the 26 Live Oak at William Hilton Parkway.

Chairman Gartner asked if the applicant would like to add to Staff's narrative. The applicant presented statements regarding the project and answered questions presented by the Board.

Chairman Gartner requested comments from the Board. The Board complimented the applicant for addressing the Board's previous comments. The Board agreed with Staff's comments. For the health of the tree, it would be better if the curb was not in the proposed location. Bollards have less of an impact than a curb. The Board favored removing the curb from the location identified by Staff and adding two bollards in its place.

Mr. Witmer made a motion to approve DRB-001166-2018 with the following conditions:

1. Remove curb beyond existing edge of asphalt within dripline of the 26 Live Oak at William Hilton Parkway.
2. Add two bollards to protect ground from compaction within dripline of the 26 Live Oak at William Hilton Parkway.

Mr. Gentemann seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 4-0-0.

- Circle K, DRB-001176-2018 (Conceptual Approval April 24, 2018)

Mr. Darnell introduced the project and described its location. Mr. Darnell presented an in depth narrative of the project as provided in the Board's packet. Initially, Staff recommended denial with the following comments: the Planting Plan needs revisions based on the Design Team/DRB Comment Sheet, and the Lighting Plan is not compliant with the LMO or DRB requirements. Subsequent to the packet, the applicant made efforts to address Staff's comments. Therefore, Staff recommends approval with the condition that the Crepe Myrtle be changed to Wax Myrtle.

Chairman Gartner asked if the applicant would like to add to Staff's narrative. The applicant presented statements regarding the project and answered questions presented by the Board.

Chairman Gartner requested comments from the Board. The Board expressed appreciation to the applicant for working with them on all aspects of the project. The Board complimented the applicant for improving the roof to meet the Design Guidelines and still maintain its functional aspect. The Board expressed concern for being able to see the building behind the gas station. An oak tree shields most of the building, except for coming down Mathews drive. The Board agreed with Staff's landscaping comments. The Crepe Myrtles should be replaced with Wax Myrtles. The Board expressed concern for the health of the Redbuds in the proposed location. The Board was in favor of a better sun-tolerant material. The Board complimented the color scheme coordinating within the William Hilton Parkway and Mathews Drive intersection. The Board confirmed with the applicant the Coronado Brick Stone is true brick and part veneer; not a peel-and-stick material. It is dimensional with a real mortar joint.

Mr. Witmer moved to approve DRB-001176-2018 with conditions, which were discussed and amended, and adopted as follows:

1. Change the Crepe Myrtles to Wax Myrtles.
2. Recommend changing the Redbuds to more of a sun tolerant flowering species.
3. Per conversation with Staff, replace Crepe Myrtles located in front of building with Ilex vomitoria 'Nana' underneath the Oaks.
4. Include the changes made in the package submitted to Town Staff on May 18, 2018.

Mr. Hoffman seconded. The amended motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

## 7. Board Business - None

## 8. Staff Report

Mr. Darnell reported on the Minor Corridor approvals since the last Board meeting.

Mr. Darnell reported the Board's concerns regarding sign size and interior neon lighting have been assigned to the Planning Commission's LMO Committee. The LMO Committee anticipates scheduling a meeting for July.

## 9. Appearance by Citizens - None

## 10. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:34 p.m.
Submitted by: Teresa Haley, Secretary
Approved:

Jake Gartner, Chairman

Town of Hilton Head Island
Community Development Department
One Town Center Court
Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
Phone: 843-341-4757 Fax: 843-842-8908

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Date Received: $5 / 29 \mid 18$ Accepted by: DRB \#: $1325-2018$ Meeting Date:

Applicant/Agent Name:


Mailing Address: 19 Creckstone Dr
Telephone: 843-785-9007 Fax: $\qquad$ Company: Fresh as F LLC

Project Name: MUllet S Project Address: $\frac{614 \text { William Hilton Pkwy }}{00}$
Parcel Number [PIN]: R 5111008000
Zoning District: $\qquad$ 024130000 Overlay Districts): Corriclur

# CORRIDOR REVIEW, MAJOR DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DB) SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS 

## Digital Submissions may be accepted via e-mail by calling 843-341-4757.

Project Category:
___ Concept Approval - Proposed Development
$\qquad$ Final Approval - Proposed Development

$\times$ Alteration/Addition<br>Minn

Submittal Requirements for All projects:
$\qquad$ Private Architectural Review Board (ARB) Notice of Action (if applicable): When a project is within the jurisdiction of an ARB, the applicant shall submit such ARB's written notice of action per LMO Section 16-2-103.I.4.b.iii.01. Submitting an application to the ARB to meet this requirement is the responsibility of the applicant.

## $\checkmark$

Filing Fee: Concept Approval-Proposed Development \$175, Final Approval - Proposed Development, Alterations/Additions $\$ 100$, Signs $\$ 25$; cash or check made payable to the Town of Hilton Head Island.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Concept Approval - Proposed Development

A survey ( $1=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
__ A site analysis study to include specimen trees, access, significant topography, wetlands, buffers, setbacks, views, orientation and other site features that may influence design.
A draft written narrative describing the design intent of the project, its goals and objectives and how it reflects the site analysis results.
Context photographs of neighboring uses and architectural styles.
Conceptual site plan (to scale) showing proposed location of new structures, parking areas and landscaping. Conceptual sketches of primary exterior elevations showing architectural character of the proposed development, materials, colors, shadow lines and landscaping.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

Final Approval - Proposed Development
A final written narrative describing how the project conforms with the conceptual approval and design review guidelines of Sec. 16-3-106.F.3.
Final site development plan meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.F.
Final site lighting and landscaping plans meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.H and D-6.I.
Final floor plans and elevation drawings ( $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ minimum scale) showing exterior building materials and colors with architectural sections and details to adequately describe the project.
A color board ( 11 "x17" maximum) containing actual color samples of all exterior finishes, keyed to the elevations, and indicating the manufacturer's name and color designation.
Any additional information requested by the Design Review Board at the time of concept approval, such as scale model or color renderings, that the Board finds necessary in order to act on a final application.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Alterations/Additions

___ All of the materials required for final approval of proposed development as listed above, plus the following additional materials.
A survey ( 1 " $=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
Photographs of existing structure.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Signs

Accurate color rendering of sign showing dimensions, type of lettering, materials and actual color samples.
For freestanding signs:
Site plan ( $1 "=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) showing location of sign in relation to buildings, parking, existing signs, and property lines.
___ Proposed landscaping plan.
For wall signs:
Photograph or drawing of the building depicting the proposed location of the sign.
Location, fixture type, and wattage of any proposed lighting.

Note: All application items must be received by the deadline date in order to be reviewed by the DRB per LMO Appendix D: D-23.

## A representative for each agenda item is strongly encouraged to attend the meeting.

Are there recorded private covenants and/or restrictions that are contrary to, conflict with, or prohibit the proposed request? If yes, a copy of the private covenants and/or restrictions must be submitted with this application. $\square$ YES XNO

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional documentation is true, factual, and complete. I hereby agree to abide by all conditions of any approvals granted by the Town of Hilton Head Island. I understand that such conditions shall apply to the subject property only and are a right or obligation transferable by sale.

I further understand that in the event of a State of Emergency due to a Disaster, the review and approval times set forth in the Land Management Ordinance may be suspended.


| From: | Gregory Berkes |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Darnell Chris; Scott D Corkern |
| Subject: | Fwd: Mullets |
| Date: | Tuesday, May 29, 2018 11:47:39 AM |

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Greg Berkes [gberkes55@gmail.com](mailto:gberkes55@gmail.com)
Date: Tue, May 29, 2018 at 11:29 AM
Subject: Mullets
To: gberkes55@gmail.com
Chris,
Please see attached. The photos are of our temporary shade that is going in to DRB revision June 12th. The shade structure will be used to provide shade to the customers that opt to have a nice marsh front view while they enjoy their food. The shaded structure is temporary and a result of the flood codes changing in our favor which have delayed our project of the pavilion. We feel, as a whole this is a lot more aesthetically pleasing and secure than the alternative of umbrellas and stays in line with island character.

Please let me know if you need anything further.
Thanks,
Greg Berkes
















## DESIGN TEAM/DRB COMMENT SHEET

The comments below are staff recommendations to the Design Review Board (DRB) and do NOT constitute DRB approval or denial.

## PROJECT NAME: Mullet's DRB\#: DRB-001325-2018

DATE: 06/06/2018
RECOMMENDATION: Approval $\square$ Approval with Conditions $\square$ Denial $\boxtimes$ RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS:

## ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

| DESIGN GUIDE/LMO CRITERIA | Complies <br> Yes | No | Not Applicable | Comments or Conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Structure is designed to be appropriate to the <br> neighborhood | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | There is no detail or color to tie this new structure to <br> the existing structure on the site. (Design Guide, p.15) |
| Utilizes natural materials and colors | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ | $\square$ | Please provide a sample of the material and color of <br> the shade cloth. |
| Details are clean, simple and appropriate while avoiding <br> excessive ornamentation | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | There are no detail for visual interest. The structure <br> appears haphazard. (Designg Guide, p.15) "Structures <br> shall demonstrate the general principles of good <br> design..."(Design Guide, p.12) |
| Accessory elements are design to coordinate with the <br> primary structure | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | There is already an existing structure on this parcel. <br> There is not detail or color to tie this new structure to <br> the existing. |

## LANDSCAPE DESIGN

| DESIGN GUIDE/LMO CRITERIA | Complies <br> Yes | No | Not Applicable | Comments or Conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Large grassed lawn areas encompassing a major <br> portion of the site are avoided | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ |  |

## MISC COMMENTS/QUESTIONS

1. Shade sails or cloth shade structures have typically not been approved by the DRB.
2. The structure appears temporary and haphazard. There is no approval of a "temporary" structure, there is only approval of a structure.

Town of Hilton Head Island Community Development Department

One Town Center Court
Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
Phone: 843-341-4757 Fax: 843-842-8908

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Date Received
Accepted by:
DRB \#:
Meeting Date

Applicant/Agent Name: Chris Nardone
Mailing Address: 119 Luckie St. NW. Suite 100
Telephone:
404-522-0077
Project Name: $\qquad$ Shelter Cove Plaza Exterior Facade Renovation Parcel Number [PIN]: R $5 \underline{2} \underline{0} 1 \underline{2} \underline{0} \underline{B}$ Zoning District: Light Commercial

Company: CNNA Architects

| City: Atlanta |
| :--- |
| E-mail: CNardone@cnna.com |
| State: GA $\quad$ Zip: 30303 |

Project Address: 50 Shelter Cove Lane, Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
$\qquad$

# CORRIDOR REVIEW, MAJOR DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DRB) SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS 

## Digital Submissions may be accepted via e-mail by calling 843-341-4757.

Project Category:
Concept Approval - Proposed Development Final Approval - Proposed Development
$\times \quad \underset{\text { Sign }}{\text { Alteration/Addition }}$

Submittal Requirements for All projects:
x
Private Architectural Review Board (ARB) Notice of Action (if applicable): When a project is within the jurisdiction of an ARB, the applicant shall submit such ARB's written notice of action per LMO Section 16-2-103.I.4.b.iii.01. Submitting an application to the ARB to meet this requirement is the responsibility of the applicant.
x Filing Fee: Concept Approval-Proposed Development \$175, Final Approval - Proposed Development \$175, Alterations/Additions $\$ 100$, Signs $\$ 25$; cash or check made payable to the Town of Hilton Head Island.

Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Concept Approval - Proposed Development

A survey ( $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
A site analysis study to include specimen trees, access, significant topography, wetlands, buffers, setbacks, views, orientation and other site features that may influence design.
A draft written narrative describing the design intent of the project, its goals and objectives and how it reflects the site analysis results.
Context photographs of neighboring uses and architectural styles.
Conceptual site plan (to scale) showing proposed location of new structures, parking areas and landscaping.
Conceptual sketches of primary exterior elevations showing architectural character of the proposed development, materials, colors, shadow lines and landscaping.

Additional Submittal Requirements:
Final Approval - Proposed Development
$x \quad$ A final written narrative describing how the project conforms with the conceptual approval and design review guidelines of Sec. 16-3-106.F.3.
$x \quad$ Final site development plan meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.F.
$\begin{array}{ll}x & F \\ x & F\end{array}$
Final site lighting and landscaping plans meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.H and D-6.I.
Final floor plans and elevation drawings ( $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ minimum scale) showing exterior building materials and colors with architectural sections and details to adequately describe the project.
$x \quad$ A color board (11"x17" maximum) containing actual color samples of all exterior finishes, keyed to the elevations, and indicating the manufacturer's name and color designation.
$x \quad$ Any additional information requested by the Design Review Board at the time of concept approval, such as scale model or color renderings, that the Board finds necessary in order to act on a final application.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Alterations/Additions

$x \quad$ All of the materials required for final approval of proposed development as listed above, plus the following additional materials.
$x \quad$ A survey ( $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
$x \quad$ Photographs of existing structure.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Signs

Accurate color rendering of sign showing dimensions, type of lettering, materials and actual color samples.
For freestanding signs:
___ Site plan $\left(1 "=30^{\prime}\right.$ minimum scale) showing location of sign in relation to buildings, parking, existing signs, and property lines.
___ Proposed landscaping plan.
For wall signs:
__ Photograph or drawing of the building depicting the proposed location of the sign.
Location, fixture type, and wattage of any proposed lighting.

Note: All application items must be received by the deadline date in order to be reviewed by the DRB per LMO Appendix D: D-23.
A representative for each agenda item is strongly encouraged to attend the meeting.

## Are there recorded private covenants and/or restrictions that are contrary to, conflict with, or prohibit the proposed request? If yes, a copy of the private covenants and/or restrictions must be submitted with this application. $\square$ YES $\square$ NO

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional documentation is true, factual, and complete. I hereby agree to abide by all conditions of any approvals granted by the Town of Hilton Head Island. I understand that such conditions shall apply to the subject property only and are a right or obligation transferable by sale.

I further understand that in the event of a State of Emergency due to a Disaster, the review and approval times set forth in the Land Management Ordinance may be suspended.

Chute sited

Town of Hilton Head Island
Community Development Department
One Town Center Court
Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
Phone: 843-341-4757 Fax: 843-341-2087
www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Date Received
App. \#:
Form revised 10-2012

## AFFIDAVIT OF OWNERSHIIP AND HOLD HARMLESS PERMISSION TO ENTER PROPERTY

The undersigned being duly sworn and upon oath states as follows:

1. I am the current owner of the property which is the subject of this application.
2. I hereby authorize CNNA Architects to act as my agent for this application only.
3. All statements contained in this application have been prepared by me or my agents and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
4. The application is being submitted with my knowledge and consent.
5. Owner grants the Town, its employees, agents, engineers, contractors or other representatives the right to enter upon Owner's real property, located at 44 AND 50 SHELTER COVE LANE, SUITE HH, HHI, SC (address),
R 52001200 B 00230000 (parcel ID) for the purpose of application review, for the limited time necessary to complete that purpose.
Description of Work: architectural plans and drawings for façade renovation.
Owner agrees to hold the Town harmless for any loss or damage to persons or property occurring on the private property during the Town's entry upon the property, unless the loss or damage is the result of the sole negligence of the Town.
6. I acknowledge that the Town of Hilton Head Island Municipal Code requires that all construction in a Special Flood Hazard Zone be constructed in accordance with the following provisions that:
a. any enclosed area below the base flood elevation will be used solely for parking of vehicles, limited storage or access to the building. This space will never be used for human habitation without first becoming fully compliant with the Town's Flood Damage Controls Ordinance in effect at the time of conversion.
b. all interior walls, ceilings and floors below the base flood elevation will be constructed of flood resistant materials.
c. all mechanical, electrical and plumbing devices will be installed above base flood elevation.
d. walls of the enclosed area below base flood elevation will be equipped with at least two openings which allow automatic entry and exit of flood water. Openings will be on two different walls with at least one square inch of free area for every square foot of enclosed space and have the bottom of openings no more than a foot above grade.
e. the structure may be subject to increased premium rates for flood insurance from the National Flood Insurance Program.
7. I understand that failure to abide by Town permits, any conditions, and all codes adopled by the Town of Hilton Head


## Description of Project:

There are two basic parts to this Application for The Plaza @ Shelter Cove shopping center. The first is the renovation to the existing "Post Modern" TJ Maxx entry feature to be more consistent with the recently renovated Whole Foods, bringing natural wood and a lower profile to the center's $2^{\text {nd }}$ anchor tenant. The second is addressing the visual aspects and visibility to the rear service side of the center. The owners have voluntarily decided that dressing up the rear of the center, especially now with the new apartment complexes and the proposed street parking, would be a good thing for Shelter Cove in general.

Part One involves the proposed TJ Maxx entry feature and typical retail canopy dormer renovations. The existing TJ Maxx Entry feature is a relic of a previous style of architecture that the owners would like to modernize. The goal is to remove the large gable stucco feature and replace with a more modern, low profile, natural wood entry feature; similar in concept to the Whole Foods Anchor at the project's north end. The footprint of the existing entry feature vestibule will remain in place, as will the brick piers and brick bulkhead under the storefront (to be replaced). No increase of square footage associated with this renovation.

The second part of Part One is the updating of the retail canopy dormers. These too are of the outdated architectural style, and we propose to clean them up and modernize with a triangular natural wood louver. This also helps to unify the entire front with a hint of the main anchors' fascade material. There are 5 of these dormers along the front/278 elevation. There are 2 more of these along the south elevation at Giuseppi's, but Giuseppi's new outdoor trellis and patio construction have "buried" them and they would be difficult to access, so we propose to leave these alone, as is.

Part Two involves the rear of the shopping center. We are proposing a few minor parapet extensions/additions to square off a corner \&/or to conceal existing roof top equipment. We are proposing a few sections of natural wood fence to conceal a few views of unsightly service features typical to the rear of a shopping center. One fence section will conceal the existing unscreened dumpsters sitting open in the service drive area. We also propose 3 locations for up-lighting of over canopy trees; to enhance the night view, adds a little security lighting, and serves as a visual distraction from the not so attractive rear of the shopping center. We are also proposing to paint the entire rear of the center in a 3 tone warm grey paint scheme, very natural in color.

Additionally, we are proposing two crosswalks. Our original concept was to add a wandering pedestrian path, with bench seating areas and other hardscape features along the entire rear of the project. After proposing this, we uncovered the fact that on street parking was planned for the rear drive, Shelter Cove Lane. We therefore scaled back our concept understanding there is a sidewalk on the opposite side of the drive. Therefore, we would like to provide crosswalks so that pedestrians have a safe place to cross the road to \& from the Plaza at Shelter Cove. One at the North entrance near Whole Foods, the second at the South entrance near Giuseppi's. We are also proposing approx. 50' of conc. sidewalk to connect the proposed southern crosswalk to the Giuseppi's patio (the center's sidewalk).

This concludes the desired scope of work proposed. Thanks in advance for your consideration.
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(1) $\frac{\text { ENLARGED PLAN @ NORTH CROSSWALK }}{10=A \cdot=0}$
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(1) DUMPSTER AREA ENLARGED FLOOR PLAN

A5. 4

(2) $\frac{\operatorname{SECTION} \text { DETALL } @ \text { LIGHT TRENCH }}{3^{\circ}=1.00^{\circ}}$

(1) PLAN DETALL @ TREE UPLIGHTING
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(2) $\frac{\text { ENLARGED ELEVATION @ WHOLE FOODS REAR }}{1 / A^{2}=1.0^{-}}$
(3) $\frac{\text { ENLARGED ELEVATION @ TJMAXX REAR }}{1 / 2=1 T^{2}}$

(1) $\frac{\text { ENLARGED ELEVATION @ EAST FACADE }}{114=1 \cdot=0 \cdot}$
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(1) $\frac{\text { ENLARGED ELEVATION @ TJMAXX STOREFRONT }}{14=1 \cdot=0 \cdot T}$


A6.1

## DESIGN TEAM/DRB COMMENT SHEET

The comments below are staff recommendations to the Design Review Board (DRB) and do NOT constitute DRB approval or denial.

## PROJECT NAME: Shelter Cove Plaza

DRB\#: DRB-001335-2018

DATE: 05/30/2018
RECOMMENDATION: Approval $\square$ Approval with Conditions $\boxtimes$ Denial $\square$ RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS: Remove the tree uplights.

## ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

| DESIGN GUIDE/LMO CRITERIA | Complies <br> Yes | No | Not Applicable | Comments or Conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decorative lighting is limited and low wattage and adds <br> to the visual character | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | The proposed uplighting is at the "back of house" <br> where the added attention is not needed or may call <br> attention to less favorable qualities of the building. <br> Additionally the existing trees will be impacted by the <br> installation of the electrical lines. |


| NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DESIGN GUIDE/LMO CRITERIA | Complies <br> Yes | No | Not Applicable | Comments or Conditions |
| An effort has been made to preserve existing trees and <br> under story plants | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | The existing trees will be negatively impacted by the <br> installation of the electrical lines and light fixtures. |

## MISC COMMENTS/QUESTIONS

1. Consider lighting the new wood fence / walls with a wash of light to silhouette the existing trees against.

Town of Hilton Head Island Community Development Department

One Town Center Court
Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
Phone: 843-341-4757 Fax: 843-842-8908

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Date Received: $\qquad$ Accepted by: $\qquad$
DRB \#:
Meeting Date: $\qquad$
www. hiltonheadislandse.gov

| Applicant/Agent Name: Owner - Jason Shroff | Company: HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mailing Address: 9654 North King's Hwy, Unit 101 | City:Myrtle Beach State: SC Zip:29572 |
| Telephone: 843-222-5764 Fax: |  |
| Project Name: Hilton Head - Port Royal | t Address: Folly Field Road |
| Parcel Number [PIN]: R510-009-000-027 |  |
| Zoning District: RD | lay District(s): COR |

## CORRIDOR REVIEW, MAJOR DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DRB) SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

## Digital Submissions mav be accepted via e-mail bv calling 843-341-4757.

Project Category:
$\qquad$ Concept Approval - Proposed Development
Final Approval - Proposed Development
Alteration/Addition
$\qquad$ Sign

Submittal Requirements for $\boldsymbol{A l l}$ projects:
Private Architectural Review Board (ARB) Notice of Action (if applicable): When a project is within the jurisdiction of an ARB, the applicant shall submit such ARB's written notice of action per LMO Section 16-2-103.I.4.b.iii.01. Submitting an application to the ARB to meet this requirement is the responsibility of the applicant.

X Filing Fee: Concept Approval-Proposed Development \$175, Final Approval - Proposed Development \$175, Alterations/Additions $\$ 100$, Signs $\$ 25$; cash or check made payable to the Town of Hilton Head Island.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Concept Approval - Proposed Development

$X \quad$ A survey $\left({ }^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}\right.$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
X A site analysis study to include specimen trees, access, significant topography, wetlands, buffers, setbacks, views, orientation and other site features that may influence design.
$\mathbf{X}$ A draft written narrative describing the design intent of the project, its goals and objectives and how it reflects the site analysis results.
$\mathrm{X} \quad$ Context photographs of neighboring uses and architectural styles.
$\mathbf{X}$ Conceptual site plan (to scale) showing proposed location of new structures, parking areas and landscaping.
X Conceptual sketches of primary exterior elevations showing architectural character of the proposed development, materials, colors, shadow lines and landscaping.

Additional Submittal Requirements:
Final Approval - Proposed Development
___ A final written narrative describing how the project conforms with the conceptual approval and design review guidelines of Sec. 16-3-106.F.3.
Final site development plan meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.F.
Final site lighting and landscaping plans meeting the requirements of Appendix D: D-6.H and D-6.I.
Final floor plans and elevation drawings ( $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ minimum scale) showing exterior building materials and colors with architectural sections and details to adequately describe the project.
A color board ( 11 "x17" maximum) containing actual color samples of all exterior finishes, keyed to the elevations, and indicating the manufacturer's name and color designation.
Any additional information requested by the Design Review Board at the time of concept approval, such as scale model or color renderings, that the Board finds necessary in order to act on a final application.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

Alterations/Additions
All of the materials required for final approval of proposed development as listed above, plus the following additional materials.
A survey ( $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ minimum scale) of property lines, existing topography and the location of trees meeting the tree protection regulations of Sec. 16-6-104.C.2, and if applicable, location of bordering streets, marshes and beaches.
Photographs of existing structure.

## Additional Submittal Requirements:

## Signs

Accurate color rendering of sign showing dimensions, type of lettering, materials and actual color samples.
For freestanding signs:
$\ldots$ Site plan $\left(1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}\right.$ minimum scale) showing location of sign in relation to buildings, parking, existing signs, and property lines.
$\ldots$ Proposed landscaping plan.
For wall signs:
Photograph or drawing of the building depicting the proposed location of the sign.
Location, fixture type, and wattage of any proposed lighting.
Note: All application items must be received by the deadline date in order to be reviewed by the DRB per LMO Appendix D: D-23.

## A representative for each agenda item is strongly encouraged to attend the meeting.

Are there recorded private covenants and/or restrictions that are contrary to, conflict with, or prohibit the proposed request? If yes, a copy of the private covenants and/or restrictions must be submitted with this application. $\square$ YES XNO

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional documentation is true, factual, and complete. I hereby agree to abide by all conditions of any approvals granted by the Town of Hilton Head Island. I understand that such conditions shall apply to the subject property only and are a right or obligation transferable by sale.

I further undeystand that in the event of a State of Emergency due to a Disaster, the review and approval times set forth in the Land Management Ordinance may be suspended.


May 23, 2018
DATE

# Hilton Head Port Royal Resort 

Hilton Head Island, SC

## Conceptual DRB Project

## Narrative

May 29, 2018
HH Island Acquisition Partners LLC is proposing to construct a new resort facility to replace the previous development known as The Port Royal Racquet Club Tract (parcel 4 - Wimbledon Court) along Folly Field Road and adjacent to Fiddler's Cove, The Lyons and Ocean Palms Villas. The existing property consists of approximately 8.4 acres of land with remnants of the Racquet Club remaining on the site including portions of Wimbledon Court, existing parking spaces, an existing pro-shop/club building, and tennis courts.

HH Island Acquisition partners is looking to redevelop the property into a signature destination resort that will be operated by Bluegreen Vacations and will incorporate island character within the site plan and architecture throughout the property. The proposed buildings will consist of (3) four story and (6) three story residential structures containing a mix of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$ bedroom units ( 148 units total counting the lockout units as $1 / 2$ unit- see plans for breakdown). The scale of these structures will be in keeping with the adjacent existing developments and appropriate for the surrounding neighborhoods.

The development will be constructed in two separate phases. The first phase will include the clubhouse (including guest support amenities, main lobby, pool, restrooms, pool showers, main lobby and shade trellis) and 5 residential structures to the south side of the project limits and entry drive. The second phase will include 4 residential structures and the maintenance and laundry facility.

The site will feature nicely landscaped walking paths and common areas and will connect with the adjacent Town bike path. Included in the amenities will be lounge/gathering areas with barbecue areas and children play area within the natural stand of existing trees. The required bicycle parking will be provided and distributed adjacent to the major entry and amenity areas throughout the site.

There are two significant trees (Live Oak, Cork Oak) located on the property that are to be preserved, as well as stands of oaks, pines, and palms throughout the development. The concept is to use all native plantings and preserve as many trees and existing vegetation as possible.

The main entry to the site will be offset to the south of the existing entry drive of the Island Club on Folly Field Dr by approximate 465 feet. Access to the site will be via two entrances on Folly Field Dr. The main entry provides a strong sense of arrival for guests with the clubhouse with a plaza entry. The entry will have landscaping, signage, and entry walls as appropriate. There will be pedestrian connectivity including a pathway that connects Wimbledon Drive to Folly Field Road.

Most of the site is sandy with elevations ranging between 9 ' and $13^{\prime}$. The proposed residential, administration and site related amenity buildings are to have a finish floor elevation set at $14.0^{\prime}+1^{\prime} 0$ MSL, with the majority of the parking being covered parking underneath the raised podiums.

Parking will be provided at the appropriate rate for 1, 2, and 3 bedroom units per LMO requirements. The number of parking spaces to be provided is estimated to be $+/-141$ spaces. The required number of bike parking spaces will be provided along with an electric vehicle charging station.

## THE ISLAND CLUB OF HILTON HEAD

85 Folly Field Road - P. O. Box 21332
Hilton Head Island, South Carolina, 29925
854-785-6776 - Fax: 843-785-5964
E-Mail: patty.pearson@islandclubofhh.com
Dear Mr. Riley,
June 5, 2018
I am writing in behalf of the Owners and Board of Directors of the Island Club of Hilton Head.
We recently learned that the Town did not accept the developers most recent project proposal, for the new Port Royal Timeshare Project. Apart from those changes requested by the Town, we believe that it is also appropriate to consider an alternative access gate location.

Our understanding is that the current plan places the access gate directly across from the Island Club gate. We have met with Terri Lewis and Darrin Shoemaker regarding our concerns. We learned that the Town prefers to "align entrances". We assume that the developer sees merit in an entrance that is proximate to public beach access. During our meeting, Darrin shared that studies indicate that timeshare users generate an average of 10 vehicle trips per day. If our entrances are aligned, this new volume, combined with existing Island Club volume, creates the significant potential for the creation of traffic congestion, pedestrian safety and trespassing incidents. Simply moving the access entrance, for the new project, can reduce this potential.

Our first preference is for the entrance to be located within Port Royal, and not on Folly Field Road.

Minimally, the Port Royal Timeshare Project access gate should be moved to a location closer to the Islanders Beach Access area. There is an existing access gate there already. We have identified this location to Darin Shoemaker. The benefits of our request are as follows:

- The developer maintains convenient beach access.
- Timeshare guests are not tempted to trespass on Island Club property, for beach access
- Mitigation of safety issues for pedestrians, joggers and cyclists.
- Improved traffic safety, due to the elimination of "aligned" back-ups at each properties access gates.

Needless to say, we do not believe that it is advisable to, reduce safety, or stress existing resources and finances when there are reasonable alternatives.

It will be very much appreciated if the Council can look into this situation and work with us to develop a, mutually agreeable, alternative. We invite any and all of you to meet with us.

Patty Pearson
Regime Manager
Island Club of Hilton Head
843-785-6776
CC: Chris Darnell
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SITE ANALYSIS





removed were flagged in red. Live oaks not in the buffer, but healthy, were flagged with yellow flagging.



 Special attention was paid to the largest trees that might have been considered specimen trees under

These trees were located along the site perimeter or interspersed between the tennis courts and other
hardscapes previously established there. They were all evaluated for condition and suitability of retention

- ว๖! DendroDiagnostics performed an evaluation of the trees on the site of a proposed development, Atlantis
Executive Summary



## Management Ordinance; Sec.16-6-104.) <br> Attachment 2: Town of Hilton Head, S.C.; Natural Resource

Attachment 1: Images of Trees and Site
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and recorded.

 The tree's buttress roots and trunks were visually checked for physical defects. These possible defects
included presence of cankers, wood decay or other stem diseases. If there was a possibility of interna

 flagged with colored vinyl tape. The flagging color was chosen to fit the requirements of Hilton Head
Blue flagging indicated trees in the buffer to be retained, orange designated trees which posed an
 measured with an increment borer, or estimated (for hardwoods) based on known species growth rates diameter at breast height (DBH) with a logger's tape and their height was measured with a lase detailed evaluation of the trees located there. The trees were examined in detail. They were measured for
diameter at breast height (DBH) with a logger's tape and their height was measured with a laser On 22, 23, 30 and 31 August and 1,5 and 6 September 2017, we traveled to the property to conduct a
 Historical imagery of the site was viewed in Google Earth. The earliest imagery available there was take
in 1994. Although of marginal quality (black and white), it showed that the site appeared to be rental properties and to the south by Folly Field Road.

This site was bounded on the west by a detention pond, the east and north by condominiums or similar properties.
00900012050000 . The area was currently a group of 14 tennis courts with a central clubhouse and
parking area. The surrounding parcels were zoned as either multi-family, high density or commercial
The tract consisted of a parcel that measured approximately 8.6 acres in size. It was designated \#R510

masere we made a proposition and our bid was accepted. This document was prepared to meet those Court in the Town of Hilton Head. The working name for this development was Atlantis II. Brett advised Brett was working with Thomas and Hutton, Inc., and others, to develop a site located on Winbledon

On 8 August 2017, DendroDiagnostics was contacted by Mr. Brett Callaghan with Progress Builders

## $\overline{\text { u0!̣フnропй }}$

You will need to submit this report to the Town of Hilton Head along with requests for tree removal for
those trees that cannot be retained. They should give you written permission to follow these
recommendations. Do not cut any trees before receiving that written permission.
Registered Forester in South Carolina.
This document constitutes a tree evaluation and protection plan that should meet the requirements of the
The following is the table of tree data as determined in our survey project proceeds. They were the best trees on the site, although some had received root injury from
previous soil disturbance construction.
The Live oaks were mostly located in groves or groups that would make them easier to protect as this


Most trees had little evidence of past care beyond the pruning of some limbs impinging on the tennis
courts. There was considerable evidence of past root damage from prior construction activities (courts remained from the original forest present prior to site development. interspersed around and between the various tennis courts. Some of these had been planted, but mos The majority of trees on this site were located around its perimeter. However, quite a few were were taken with a digital camera, for use in this report. For specimen or near-specimen trees we completed a more detailed data sheet detailing our findings
These were used for standardization, for preparing a summary of conditions and the final report. The column to designate overall tree condition.
 corrected list of trees on the site by Thomas and Hutton after they entered the data we had returned to
them. This was adapted into an Excel spreadsheet showing tree number, diameter and species. We added On our second and third trips we did actual evaluations of all the trees on the site. We had been provided a plotted their locations, species and diameter so they could be added to the tree tally. on the tree survey. This data was transferred to a copy of that survey and sent to Thomas and Hutton so
their survey document could be corrected. We also found about 15 trees not listed on the survey and On our first trip to the site we confirmed or corrected the tree diameter measurements and species as listed
Live oak and a 34 inch DBH Cork oak.
Two trees on the site were either specimen, rare or endangered trees as defined by Hilton Head's tree
ordinance. We gave special attention to the evaluation of those individuals. These were a 55 inch DBH •ऽұəәця
Branches, twigs and foliage were visually evaluated for structure, color and presence of any insects or
diseases. Any dieback in the crown (an indication of root or vascular disorder) was logged on the data excessively long limbs and other defects.

 Above about eight feet on the trunk, all evaluation was done by visual inspection. In this inspection, we

| \# | ID | SP/DIA | BFR | SPREAD | COND | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8090 | SLA PN 21 | Y | 0 | P | DEAD TREE; FLAGGED TO CUT |
| 2 | 8091 | WATO 19 | Y | $18 \times 24 \times 15 \times 24$ | F | COD; INC BK @ 20 FT; THINNING; SPROUTS; DIEBACK; BORERS |
| 3 | 8092 | LO 21 S17 | Y | $48 \times 9 \times 6612$ | G | COD @ 2 FEET; DEAD BRANCHES |
| 4 | 8093 | SLA PN 20 | Y | $30 \times 18 \times 30 \times 0$ | F | UNBALANCED CROWN>WEST; 15\% LIVE CROWN |
| 5 | 8094 | SLA PN 17 | Y | $30 \times 18 \times 0 \times 24$ | F | UNBALANCED CROWN>EAST; 15\% LIVE CROWN |
| 6 | 8095 | LOB PN 23 | Y | $15 \times 30 \times 12 \times 15$ | F | BASAL DECAY; SWEEP > E; THINNING; OLD LIGHTNING STRIKE |
| 7 | 8103 | LOB PN 12 | Y | $33 \times 0 \times 8 \times 6$ | P | DEAD LIMBS; 15 DEGREE LEAN; 15\% LIVE CROWN; DECLINING |
| 8 | 8104 | LOB PN 13 | Y | 15×9×15×12 | P | BASAL DECAY; SLICK BARK; 10\% LIVE CROWN |
| 9 | 8105 | LOB PN 15 | Y | $30 \times 0 \times 18 \times 0$ | F | SLICK BARK; DIEBACK; DEAD LIMBS; SWEEP; 10\% LIVE CROWN |
| 10 | 8106 | LOB PN 25 | Y | 36x18×0x30 | F+ | 50\% LIVE CROWN; SOME DEAD LIMBS |
| 11 | 8108 | WATO 20 | Y | 12×12×30×30 | F- | 10 DEGREE LEAN; SPROUTS; BORERS; LOW DECAY; THINNING |
| 12 | 8110 | LO 9 | Y | 24×0×18×0 | F | 40 DEGREE LEAN> EAST; SOME DEAD BRANCHES |
| 13 | 8252 | LOB PN 1010 | Y | 15×0x16x6 | P | 20 DEGREE LEAN APART; COD; INC BK |
| 14 | 8254 | PN 15 | Y | 0 | P | DEAD |
| 15 | 8256 | MAG 10 | Y | $15 \times 8 \times 24 \times 8$ | F | 30 DEGREE LEAN $>$ WATER |
| 16 | 8257 | LOB PN 15 | Y | $24 \times 30 \times 18 \times 18$ | F | 25\% LIVE CROWN; HEALTHY |
| 17 | 8259 | MAG 8 | Y | $15 \times 12 \times 10 \times 15$ | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN $>$ WATER; FEW DEAD LIMBS |
| 18 | 8260 | BAY 322 | Y | $15 \times 15 \times 12 \times 15$ | F | COD; INC BK |
| 19 | 8261 | BAY 332 | Y | 12X15×6×12 | F | COD; INC BK |
| 20 | 8262 | MAG 9 | Y | 15×24×18×24 | G | FEW DEAD LIMBS |
| 21 | 8267 | WATO 14 | N | 21×12×15×18 | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > N; BORERS; SPROUTS; SUPPRESSED |
| 22 | 8271 | HOL 8 | N | 12X6x15×9 | F | COD; INC BK; DEAD LIMBS |
| 23 | 8299 | LOB PN 21 | N | 15×18×12×16 | P | RISK; FLAGGED; RUST CANKER 12-20 FEET UP TRUNK |


| 24 | 8300 | LAO 15 | N | 12X1888×24 | F | SPROUTS; FEW DEAD LIMBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 8301 | LIVE OK 32 | N | $36 \times 24 \times 48 \times 24$ | G | FORKS BELOW DBH; FEW DEAD LIMBS; PRUNE |
| 26 | 8302 | LOB PN 17 | N | 20x18×10x15 | P | SLICK BARK; THIN FOLIAGE; DEAD TOP; CUT TO FREE \#25 |
| 27 | 8303 | SLA PN 22 | N | 18×18×24×24 | F | not bad, for a pine |
| 28 | 8304 | LO 11 | N | 0x15×28×10 | F- | declining |
| 29 | 8305 | LO 24 | N | 10x15×15×15 | P | DYING; DEAD TOP; RISK Of FAILURE |
| 30 | 8306 | PM 14 | N | NM | F | Pindo; stem leaning |
| 31 | 8308 | PM 21 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| 32 | 8309 | LOB PN 15 | N | 20x15×10x10 | P | 5\% LIVE CROWN; DEAD BRANCHES; TRUNK SWEEP; CUT |
| 33 | 8310 | LOB PN 29 | N | $45 \times 25 \times 40 \times 40$ | P | BIG dead limbs; suck bark; decar; Cut |
| 34 | 8311 | PM 22 | N | nM | F | PINDO |
| 35 | 8313 | LO 18 | N | 20x25x30x25 | F | SPROUTS; FEW DEAD LIMBS; GROUP OF 4 (35-38) |
| 36 | 8314 | LO 15 | N | $30 \times 15 \times 35 \times 20$ | F | 10 Degree Lean > N |
| 37 | 8315 | LO 14 | N | 10x30x15x20 | F | 10 degree Lean >N |
| 38 | 8316 | LO 11 | N | $25 \times 30 \times 36 \times 0$ | F | 10 Degree Lean > N |
| 39 | 8326 | MAG 11 | Y | 30x30x20×25 | F | SPROUTS; SUPPRESSED |
| 40 | 8329 | LOB PN 12 | Y | 15×15×15x15 | F | SOME DEAD LIMBS; 30\% LIVE CROWN |
| 41 | 8331 | LOB PN 10 | Y | 10x10x10x40 | F | LONG LIMB > EAST; PRUNE AWAY |
| 42 | 8332 | LOB PN 9 | Y | 8x10x0x35 | F | POOR FORM; LONG LIMB> EAST; PRUNE |
| 43 | 8338 | MAG 8 | Y | 15×15×15×20 | F | SUPPRESSED |
| 44 | 8339 | LOB PN 14 | Y | $10 \times 18 \times 8 \times 23$ | P | THIN TOP; DEAD BRANCHES; 15\% LIVE CROWN |
| 45 | 8340 | MAG 11 | Y | $12 \times 25 \times 18 \times 28$ | F | TRUNK SWEEP |
| 46 | 8341 | LOB PN 14 | Y | 15×10x15×18 | F | 20\% LIVE CROWN; FEW DEAD LIMBS |
| 47 | 8344 | LOB PN 12 | Y | 10x10x10x10 | P | 10\% LIVE CROWN; MOST LIMBS DEAD |


| 48 | 8345 | LOB PN 14 | Y | 15×20×10X25 | P | 20\% LIVE CROWN; DEAD BRANCHES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 8354 | HOL 14 | N | $10 \times 18 \times 18 \times 18$ | F | 3 TOPS; SOME DECAY; LOW SPROUTS |
| 50 | 8355 | HOL 7 | N | 15×15×15×15 | F | LOW SPROUTS |
| 51 | 8356 | LOB PN 22 | N | 25×10×25×15 | P | DEAD BRANCHES; THIN TOP; NEAR BUFFER LINE |
| 52 | 8362 | LOB PN 18 | Y | 15×25×20×25 | F | SLICK BARK; BIG VINE ATTACHED |
| 53 | 8363 | WATO 128 | Y | 10×20×15×30 | F | COD @ 2 FEET; INC BK |
| 54 | 8366 | LAO 1410 | Y | $18 \times 8 \times 40 \times 0$ | P | COD @ 1 FOOT; INC BK; DEAD BRANCHES |
| 55 | 8367 | LOB PN 14 | Y | $8 \times 18 \times 25 \times 20$ | F- | DOGLEG @ 25'; VINES GIRDLING |
| 56 | 8368 | LOB PN 12 | Y | 20x0x10x0 | F | SUPPRESSSED; 15\% LIVE CROWN |
| 57 | 8369 | LOB PN 14 | Y | $0 \times 10 \times 30 \times 10$ | F | NOT SYMETRICAL |
| 58 | 8371 | GUM 7 | Y | 10x15×10x10 | F | SPROUTS; NOT BAD FOR GUM |
| 59 | 8372 | LOB PN 14 | Y | 20x15×20×20 | F+ | NICE PINE |
| 60 | 8373 | WATO 7 | Y | $8 \times 15 \times 12 \times 25$ | F | COD @ 15 FEET; THIN |
| 61 | 8376 | WATO 7 | Y | $8 \times 15 \times 20 \times 5$ | P | MOSTLY SPROUTS |
| 62 | 8377 | WATO S5 | Y | 6X6X6X6 | P | WHIP; SUPPRESSED |
| 63 | 8382 | GUM 11 | Y | 25×10×15×25 | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > S; LOTS OF SPROUTS |
| 64 | 8383 | GUM 7 | Y | $5 \times 15 \times 0 \times 15$ | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > W; LOTS OF SPROUTS |
| 65 | 8384 | GUM 7 | Y | 5×10×15×10 | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > N; LOTS OF SPROUTS |
| 66 | 8385 | WATO 6 | Y | $8 \times 15 \times 10 \times 20$ | F | SUPPRESSED; PRUNE > PROPERTY |
| 67 | 8386 | WATO 11 | Y | 5×15×30x5 | F | MOSTLY OVER WATER |
| 68 | 8387 | LOB PN 25 | Y | $15 \times 25 \times 35 \times 25$ | F | LONG LIMBS |
| 69 | 8388 | GUM 13 | Y | 25×20×20×10 | F | FAIR FOR GUM |
| 70 | 8392 | GUM 14 | Y | 10x10×15×15 | F | FORKS AT 15 FEET |
| 71 | 8393 | GUM 6 | Y | 0x0x25x0 | F | ALL CROWN OVER WATER |


| 72 | 8394 | LOB PN 18 | Y | 20x20x20x20 | F | ON EDGE OF WATER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 8396 | GUM 9 | Y | $10 \times 15 \times 25 \times 8$ | F | SPROUTS; THIN CROWN; GROUP OF 3 (73-75) |
| 74 | 8397 | GUM 10 | Y | 0x15×30x5 | F | SPROUTS; THIN CROWN; GROUP OF 3 (73-75) |
| 75 | 8398 | GUM 10 | Y | 8X20x6X18 | F | SPROUTS; THIN CROWN; GROUP OF 3 (73-75) |
| 76 | 8399 | GUM 9 | Y | $0 \times 18 \times 15 \times 10$ | F | NOT BAD FOR A GUM |
| 77 | 8403 | WATO 14 | Y | 5×15×20x0 | P | VERY THIN CROWN; DYING BRANCHES |
| 78 | 8404 | LOB PN 21 | N | $24 \times 24 \times 24 \times 24$ | F | DEAD LOW LIMBS, OUTSIDE BUFFER |
| 79 | 8405 | GUM 98 | Y | 20x6X15×15 | F | COD @ 1 FOOT; INC BK; SOME DEAD BRANCHES |
| 80 | 8406 | WATO 5 | Y | $15 \times 0 \times 5 \times 5$ | P | SUPPRESSED |
| 81 | 8407 | GUM 11 | Y | $5 \times 20 \times 10 \times 15$ | F | BY EDGE OF PARKING LOT |
| 82 | 8415 | LIVE OK 28 | Y | $32 \times 10 \times 39 \times 18$ | F+ | MOSTLY OVER PARKING LOT; SOME DEAD LIMBS; PRUNE |
| 83 | 8423 | LO 2626 | N | $36 \times 12 \times 45 \times 0$ | F- | THIN TOP; NATURALLY LIONS-TAILED; SOME DEAD FOLIAGE |
| 84 | 8495 | SLA PN 22 | Y | $30 \times 18 \times 30 \times 12$ | F | X PARKING LOT; THINNING; IN 10 ' CIRCLE OF MULCH |
| 85 | 8528 | LIVE OK 12 | Y | 24x10x8×8 | F | SOME SPROUTS |
| 86 | 8529 | LO 20 | Y | 18×12×16×6 | F+ | HAS BEEN PRUNED; NEEDS MORE |
| 87 | 8530 | LO 28 | Y | 49×18×15×18 | G | SLIGHT DEAD WOOD |
| 88 | 8531 | LO 10 | Y | $30 \times 6 \times 25 \times 2$ | F+ | FEW SPROUTS |
| 89 | 8532 | LO 15 | N | 40x0x8×4 | F+ | LEANS > S |
| 90 | 8533 | LO 17 | Y | 26x12×9x30 | G | 8 FEET FROM ROAD |
| 91 | 8534 | LO 22 | Y | 40x15×30×12 | F | DEAD WOOD IN TOP; NEEDS PRUNING |
| 92 | 8637 | SLA PN 21 | N | 21×18×18×24 | F | SLICK BARK; GIRDLING ROOT |
| 93 | 8658 | SLA PN 20 | N | 18×18×6X24 | F | DBL TOP @ 50'; SLICK BARK; WOUND @ 45' |
| 94 | 8659 | SLA PN 24 | N | $12 \times 24 \times 24 \times 18$ | G | 5 DEGREE LEAN > S; SLICK BARK |
| 95 | 8664 | LO 24 | N | 20x24×21×18 | G | 20 DEGREE LEAN > EAST; SLIGHT DIEBACK |


| 96 | 8665 | HOL 10 | N | $9 \times 12 \times 18 \times 12$ | F | LOW SPROUTS; A BIT THIN; CAVITY @ 8 FEET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 8669 | HOL 7 | N | 6X12×18×6 | F | 15 DEGREE LEAN > W; LOW SPROUTS |
| 98 | 8670 | HOL 11 | N | 10x18X21×12 | F | 10 Degree Lean > N |
| 99 | 8685 | SLA PN 24 | N | 18X15X21X12 | G | NOT MUCH ROOM FOR ROOTS |
| 100 | 8687 | HOL 107 | N | 18X12X18X18 | F | COD @1 FOOT; INC BK; HIGH SPROUTS |
| 101 | 8688 | LOB PN 12 | N | 6X15×12X6 | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > E; SLICK BARK |
| 102 | 8708 | LO 55 | N | $42 \times 42 \times 30 \times 42$ | F | BROKEN TOPS FROM STORM; DATA SHEET COMPLETED |
| 103 | 8709 | LO 12 | N | 15×15×9×15 | F | SPROUTS; SWEEP > N |
| 104 | 8710 | LO 17 | N | $36 \times 6 \times 18 \times 27$ | F | STRAIGHT TRUNK |
| 105 | 8711 | LO 16 | N | 36X0x0x24 | F | 30 DEGREE LEAN > S |
| 106 | 8726 | CORK OK 34 | N | $30 \times 35 \times 24 \times 27$ | G | SOME DIEBACK; DATA SHEET COMPLETED |
| 107 | 8728 | PM 18 | N | NM | F | PINDO; CUT (IN CORK OAK) |
| 108 | 8729 | PM 18 | N | NM | F | PINDO; CUT (IN CORK OAK) |
| 109 | 8767 | PM 16 | N | NM | F | PINDO; COULD KEEP (NOT INTERFERING WITH CORK OAK) |
| 110 | 8824 | HOL 8 | N | $12 \times 12 \times 12 \times 12$ | G | SPROUTS; SUPPRESSED BY PINES |
| 111 | 8827 | SLA PN 24 | N | 15×15×30×12 | F | BETWEEN TENNIS COURTS; BIG LIMBS |
| 112 | 8931 | MAG 14 | N | $6 \times 15 \times 12 \times 24$ | P | LOW DECAY; ROOTS SLIPPING; CAVITY @ 10 FEET (REMOVE) |
| 113 | 8932 | GUM 9 | N | 12X3×9x6 | P | MANY SPROUTS; LOW SWEEP ON TRUNK; FEW LIMBS |
| 114 | 8934 | GUM 10 | N | 12×12×12×12 | P | TOP BROKEN @ 18 FEET |
| 115 | 8935 | LO 17 | N | 12x9x0x30 | F | SPROUTS; SOME DEAD LIMBS |
| 116 | 8936 | LO 30 | N | 18×30×24X18 | G | SIDE > TENNIS COURTS PRUNED; SOME SPROUTS |
| 117 | 8937 | LO 20 | N | 21×21×45×6 | G | COD @ 15 FEET; HANGER IN TOP; PRUNE |
| 118 | 8938 | LO 29 | N | $9 \times 30 \times 42 \times 28$ | F | ARMILLARIA FRUITING AT BASE; SPROUTS |
| 119 | 8948 | LO 22 | N | 18×12X18×18 | P | LOW DECAY; BROKEN LIMBS; THIN; CANKERED |


|  | 」 | 8TX8TX9X82 | $\wedge$ | 22 Nd 807 | $\varepsilon 106$ | $\varepsilon t \tau$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d | 9X9X9X9 | $\wedge$ | OL Nd ONd | 2106 | てカT |
|  | 」 | OZXSTX0ZXZT | $\wedge$ | 81 Wก9 | 8006 | ItT |
|  | $\pm$ | 0¢X0X0TXXZ | $\wedge$ | SI WNS | $\varepsilon 006$ | OtT |
|  | $\pm$ | てIXヤてX8Xヤて | $\wedge$ | $\varepsilon 乙 ~ N d \forall 7 S$ | ZL68 | $6 \varepsilon \tau$ |
| OШヨW7Vd | $\pm$ | WN | $\wedge$ | 9 TWd | IL68 | $8 \varepsilon \tau$ |
|  | 9 | 9ZX8IXZTXカZ | $\wedge$ | LI Nd $\forall 7$ S | 0＜68 | LET |
| OШヲW7Vd | 」 | WN | $\wedge$ | SI Wd | 6968 | $98 \tau$ |
| 1ヨヨコ 9 （0）00 | 5 | てTXIZX9X9を | $\wedge$ | โ¢ 07 | 8968 | ऽ¢โ |
| S」noyd \＾Vヨ | $\pm$ | 9X0tXSX0ع | $\wedge$ | 0¢ 07 | $\angle 968$ | †¢ |
| OVOY＜S8WIT ！${ }_{\text {dOL NIH }}$ | $\pm$ | ャSXOXOXt¢ | $\wedge$ | SI ZZS 07 | 9968 | $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau$ |
|  | 」 | てとX0тX9Xャて | $\wedge$ | 02 Nd 807 | 5968 | 2¢โ |
| SヨNId 18 OヨSSヨyddnS | 」 | 9X6X8โX8T | $\wedge$ | OI ЭVW | t968 | โદโ |
| IYกOJ SINNヨ | d | WN | $\wedge$ | ヤて Nd 807 | $\varepsilon 968$ | 0¢โ |
| H 1 Vd O 1 LOO」 $\tau$ | $\pm$ | SIXセZXヤてXLZ | N | SZ Nd 807 | 2968 | $62 \tau$ |
|  | $\pm$ | てIXIZXIEX8T | $\wedge$ | 97 Nd ONd | 1968 | 827 |
| 1ヨヨコ IZ＠00つ | $\pm$ | 8TX0ZXヤZX6 | $\wedge$ | LI WNS | 0968 | LZT |
|  | f | 02XSTX8X8โ | $\wedge$ | 02 Nd 807 | $6 \mathrm{S68}$ | 92 T |
|  | J | †てX9XtZXZT | $\wedge$ | LI Nd $\forall 7$ S | 8568 | SZT |
|  | $\pm$ |  | $\wedge$ | ยโ＜уяากW | \＆¢68 | †てT |
| S8WIT $\times$＇XNกy | $\pm$ | ZSXZIX9XSI | N | 0 O 07 | ZS68 | £ T |
|  | 」 | て£XSIXヤZX0 | N | カT 07 | TS68 | ZZT |
|  | $\pm$ | Stxzexoex | N | SZ 07 | 0568 | IZT |
|  | f | 0x乙Ex8โX0¢ | N | 9 9507 | 6768 | OZT |


| 144 | 9014 | LOB PN 10 | Y | $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3$ | P | DYING; 2\% LIVE CROWN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | 9015 | SLA PN 23 | Y | $36 \times 12 \times 15 \times 20$ | F | BRANCH STUBS |
| 146 | 9016 | LAO 20 | Y | 24×12×30×18 | F- | TRUNK CAVITIES; DIEBACK; COD @ 35 FEET |
| 147 | 9017 | PND PN 17 | Y | $24 \times 12 \times 0 \times 24$ | F- | 10\% LIVE CROWN; SLICK BARK; 2 SIDES OF TRUNK FLAT |
| 148 | 9018 | GUM 11 | Y | $15 \times 12 \times 9 \times 12$ | F | SPROUTS; BRANCH STUBS |
| 149 | 9019 | SLA PN21 19 | Y | $21 \times 18 \times 27 \times 18$ | F- | COD @ 1 FOOT; DEAD LIMBS; 10\% LIVE CROWN |
| 150 | 9020 | GUM 8 | Y | 18X9x9×14 | F | COD @ 12 FEET |
| 151 | 9021 | SLA PN 21 | Y | 24×0x20x0 | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN $>$ S |
| 152 | 9022 | GUM 1111 | N | 21X6×18×6 | F | COD @ 2 FEET; INC BK |
| 153 | 9023 | GUM 8 | N | 20x18×24×6 | F | CORKSCREW TRUNK, SPROUTS |
| 154 | 9024 | GUM 743 | Y | $12 \times 8 \times 18 \times 10$ | P | COD @ 0, 1 FOOT; MANT SPROUTS |
| 155 | 9025 | GUM 10 | Y | $20 \times 8 \times 8 \times 24$ | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; COD @ 20 FEET |
| 156 | 9026 | GUM 9 | Y | 6X21×18×6 | F | COD @ 15 FEET; SUPPRESSED BY PINES |
| 157 | 9027 | SLA PN 24 | N | $18 \times 0 \times 18 \times 24$ | P | RED HEART TRUNK DECAY; SLICK BARK; 15\% LIVE CROWN |
| 158 | 9028 | SLA PN 24 | N | 21×24×30×18 | P | RED HEART TRUNK DECAY; BIG LIMBS; LIVE CROWN 20\% |
| 159 | 9035 | SLA PN 19 | N | 18×18×18×12 | F | TRUNK SWEEP; DEAD LIMBS; VINES; SLICK BARK |
| 160 | 9039 | SLA PN 23 | N | $18 \times 5 \times 24 \times 18$ | F | MECHANICAL INJUST @ 12 FEET |
| 161 | 9040 | SLA PN 20 | N | 0x35 $\times 0 \times 15$ | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN $>\mathrm{N}$ |
| 162 | 9045 | PND PN 19 | Y | 6x6x6x6 | P | RISK (FLAGGED); TOP DIEBACK; DEAD LIMBS |
| 163 | 9049 | PND PN 13 | Y | $12 \times 6 \times 10 \times 25$ | F | SUPPRESSED; SPROUTS |
| 164 | 9050 | SLA PN 19 | Y | 8×32×0x30 | F | 1 SIDED |
| 165 | 9051 | SLA PN 19 | Y | $24 \times 8 \times 18 \times 24$ | F | TERMITE TUBES; LOW DECAY; CORKSCREW TRUNK |
| 166 | 9052 | LOB PN 20 | Y | 20×20×14×26 | F | SLICK BARK, FEW BRANCH STUBS |
| 167 | 9053 | W MYR10 8 | Y | 12X6X8X8 | P | DECAYING; BROKEN TOP; STUB CUTS |


| 168 | 9128 | HOL 5 | N | 12X6x12×12 | F | LOW SPROUTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | 9172 | LO 15 | N | $33 \times 3 \times 6 \times 30$ | F | DEAD LIMBS; LONG LIMBS > COURT |
| 170 | 9173 | LO 15 | N | $18 \times 9 \times 18 \times 18$ | F | SLIGHTLY THIN CROWN |
| 171 | 9174 | GUM 11 | N | $12 \times 18 \times 9 \times 18$ | F- | TRUNK SWEEP; SPROUTS; FLAT TOP |
| 172 | 9175 | HOL5 43 | N | $12 \times 6 \times 15 \times 12$ | F | COD @ 6", 1.5'; SPROUTS |
| 173 | 9176 | HOL 42 | N | 15×0x12×15 | F | COD @ 6", 1.5'; SPROUTS |
| 174 | 9177 | LO 30 | N | $36 \times 36 \times 24 \times 24$ | G | SLIGHT LOW DECAY; 8 FEET TO TENNIS COURT |
| 175 | 9178 | LO 16 | N | 24×16X26X15 | F | DEAD LIMBS; SPROUTS (NEEDS PRUNING) |
| 176 | 9179 | HOL 6 | N | 12X10×18×15 | F | LOW SPROUTS; COD 9 FEET; INC. BK |
| 177 | 9180 | LO 17 | N | 30x24X20X24 | F- | COD 11 FEET; HEAVY SPROUTS |
| 178 | 9181 | GUM 14 | N | $8 \times 18 \times 15 \times 24$ | F- | FLAT TOP; 1 LIMB BROKEN; SPROUTS |
| 179 | 9182 | GUM S13 | N | 10x10×24×10 | F- | DOGLEG AT 20 FEET |
| 180 | 9185 | LO 8 | N | 24×0x8×0 | F | CROOKED TRUNK; SPROUTS |
| 181 | 9186 | LO S10 | Y | 24X0x6x6 | F | TRUNK SWEEP; SPROUTS |
| 182 | 9188 | LO 22 | N | 15×15×10x18 | F | E SIDE PRUNED; BIG WOUND |
| 183 | 9189 | LO 14 | Y | 0x0x10×24 | F | MANY DEAD LIMBS; 10 DEGREE LEAN (NEEDS PRUNING) |
| 184 | 9261 | SLA PN 26 | N | 20x15X24×24 | F | 15\% LIVE CROWN; NICE PINE |
| 185 | 9262 | LO 19 | N | 18×24×20×20 | F | DIEBACK, STUB CUTS; 4 FEET TO PATH |
| 186 | 9294 | LO 23 | N | $30 \times 30 \times 30 \times 6$ | F | DIEBACK; DEAD LIMBS (NEEDS PRUNING) |
| 187 | 9312 | MAG 195 | N | 24×16×18×24 | F- | LOTS OF SPROUTS; MOST TOP OVER COURT |
| 188 | 9386 | PM 22 | N | NM | F | NOT EVALUATED |
| 189 | 9395 | LO 12 | Y | $30 \times 18 \times 12 \times 24$ | F | 15 DEGREE LEAN; MOST TOP OVER COURT |
| 190 | 9396 | LO 9 | Y | 0x30x10x6 | F | 15 DEGREE LEAN > PARKING LOT; BY BAMBOO |
| 191 | 9397 | LO 11 | Y | 20x12X15×24 | F | FEW LIMBS; BY BAMBOO |


| 192 | 9398 | LO 9 | Y | $8 \times 12 \times 0 \times 18$ | F | THINNING; IN BAMBOO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193 | 9399 | LO 14 | Y | 10×24×20×20 | F | FEW DEAD LIMBS (NEEDS PRUNING) |
| 194 | 9401 | LO 14 | Y | $12 \times 18 \times 12 \times 12$ | F | SOME DEAD LIMBS |
| 195 | 9407 | LO 17 | Y | 15X0X0X18 | F- | SOME DEAD LIMBS; THIN; CLOSE TO PAVEMENT |
| 196 | 9429 | LO 20 | Y | $15 \times 30 \times 18 \times 30$ | G | COD; BY ROAD; THINNING SLIGHTLY; SOME SPROUTS |
| 197 | 9448 | LO 10 | Y | $36 \times 8 \times 12 \times 15$ | F | HEAVY SPROUTS |
| 198 | 9454 | LO 10 | Y | 15×24×30×10 | F | TOP OVER ROAD; SOME SPROUTS; DEAD LIMBS (PRUNE) |
| 199 | 9455 | WATO 1511 | Y | $25 \times 30 \times 25 \times 15$ | F | COD @ 1 FOOT; INC BK; DEAD LIMBS; TRUNKS LEAN OPPOSITE |
| 200 | 9460 | LO 15 | Y | 12X24×24×0 | F | LEAN > W @ 25 DEGREES; SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 201 | 9461 | LO 15 | Y | 18×8×12×6 | F | SMALL CROWN |
| 202 | 9471 | LO 13 | Y | 21×18×18×18 | G | SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 203 | 9472 | LO 11 | Y | $24 \times 12 \times 8 \times 18$ | G | MOSTLY OVER ROAD |
| 204 | 9473 | LO S10 | Y | $27 \times 0 \times 0 \times 24$ | G | LEAN $>20$ degrees TO E |
| 205 | 9475 | LO 19 | Y | 10×24×12×24 | G | SLIGHT DIEBACK; NICE TREE |
| 206 | 9476 | LO 2115 | Y | $28 \times 8 \times 12 \times 24$ | G | SOME SPROUTS; SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 207 | 9477 | HOL 8 | Y | NM | F | SOME BRANCH STUBS; 20 DEGREE LEAN > S |
| 208 | 9478 | HOL 6 | N | 26x0x12×18 | F | SOME BRANCH STUBS; 25 DEGREE LEAN > S |
| 209 | 9482 | WATO 19 | Y | $24 \times 18 \times 24 \times 18$ | F- | HOLLOW BASE; DIEBACK; THINNING; COD @ 18 FEET |
| 210 | 9483 | LO 5 | Y | $8 \times 12 \times 18 \times 8$ | F- | SUPPRESSED; SMALL TOP |
| 211 | 9491 | HOL9 8 | N | 12X9×12×12 | F | LOW SPROUTS; DECAYED LIMB STUB; THINNING |
| 212 | 9492 | HOL 9 | N | 12X12×9×12 | F | COD @ 7 FEET; INC BK |
| 213 | 9494 | GUM 15 | N | 18×24×18×18 | F- | LOTS OF SPROUTS; LEANS > S |
| 214 | 9552 | CRAPE M18 | Y | 12×12×12×12 | G | POLLARDED |
| 215 | 9553 | CRAPE M18 | Y | 12×12×12×12 | G | POLLARDED |


| 216 | 9554 | PM 19 | Y | NM | F | PINDO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217 | 9555 | PM 20 | Y | NM | F | PINDO |
| 218 | 9556 | CRAPE M18 | N | 6X6X6X6 | F | POLLARDED |
| 219 | 9563 | LO 12 | Y | 12×18×36x0 | F | DIEBACK; LEANS > W; IN MEDIAN; LITTLE ROOT SPACE |
| 220 | 9564 | LO 13 | Y | 18×12X24×24 | F+ | FEW DEAD LIMBS; SMALL CROWN (NEEDS PRUNING) |
| 221 | 9565 | LO S12 | Y | $18 \times 18 \times 12 \times 10$ | F+ | SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 222 | 9566 | LO 15 | Y | 12×28×12×18 | G | LEANS > N |
| 223 | 9567 | LO S8 | Y | $12 \times 8 \times 0 \times 36$ | F | SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 224 | 9670 | HOL 76554 | N | 15×12X15×12 | F+ | LOW SPROUTS; STUBS; SLIGHT DECAY |
| 225 | 9671 | PM 20 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 226 | 9672 | SLA PN 22 | N | 20×20×15×24 | F- | BIG DEAD LIMBS; HIGH DECAY |
| 227 | 9673 | PND PN 11 | N | 4X6X18×12 | P | DYING (NOT YET RISK) |
| 228 | 9695 | PND PN S11 | N | 0x30x8×30 | P | LEANS 15 DEGEES > E; CLOSE TO PAVEMENT |
| 229 | 9696 | LOB PN 9 | N | 18X6X12×12 | F- | SOME DEAD BRANCHES; 8 " TO WALL |
| 230 | 9697 | PND PN 16 | N | $15 \times 18 \times 12 \times 12$ | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > N; DYING; 5\% LIVE CROWN |
| 231 | 9698 | PM 12 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 232 | 9699 | PM 15 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 233 | 9700 | PM 18 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 234 | 9701 | PM 20 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 235 | 9712 | BRAD 23 | N | $24 \times 38 \times 30 \times 28$ | P | REMOVE; NO FUTURE; WILL FAIL SOON; RED FLAGGED |
| 236 | 9713 | BRAD 17 | N | $12 \times 24 \times 28 \times 12$ | P | REMOVE; NO FUTURE; WILL FAIL SOON; RED FLAGGED |
| 237 | 9714 | BRAD 23 | N | $12 \times 28 \times 24 \times 12$ | P | REMOVE; NO FUTURE; WILL FAIL SOON; RED FLAGGED |
| 238 | 9758 | PM 19 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| 239 | 9763 | PM 22 | N | NM | F | PINDO |


| 240 | 9764 | PM 22 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 | 9765 | PM 21 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| 242 | 9766 | PND PN 13 | N | 15×10x15×6 | F | FLAT SIDE $>\mathrm{N}$ |
| 243 | 9768 | PND PN 13 | N | $15 \times 6 \times 8 \times 15$ | P | DEAD LIMBS; DECLINING |
| 244 | 9769 | PM 10 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| 245 | 9770 | PM 14 | N | NM | F | PINDO |
| 246 | 9772 | PND PN 11 | N | 6×12×4×8 | P | VERY THIN |
| 247 | 9773 | PND PN 10 | N | 6x12X4x8 | P | VERY THIN; DECLINING |
| 248 | 9774 | PND PN 12 | N | 18×12×12X6 | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > N; 5 \% LIVE CROWN |
| 249 | 9775 | PND PN 13 | N | 6X18×12×6 | F- | 15 \% LIVE CROWN |
| 250 | 9776 | SLA PN 25 | N | $22 \times 28 \times 30 \times 36$ | F+ | WOLF (GREW ALONE) |
| 251 | 9777 | PND PN 11 | N | $12 \times 0 \times 0 \times 20$ | F | SUPPRESSED; 15 DEGREE LEAN > E |
| 252 | 9802 | PND PN 13 | N | 10x10x10x15 | F | LEANS 10 DEGREES > E |
| 253 | 9803 | SLA PN 21 | N | $24 \times 18 \times 18 \times 18$ | G | NICE TREE |
| 254 | 9804 | PM 21 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 255 | 9805 | SLA PN 19 | N | 18X27X32X6 | G | IN MEDIAN |
| 256 | 9806 | PM 17 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 257 | 9807 | GUM 138 | N | 18×18×18×18 | P | THINNING; CODOMINANT @2.5 FEET; INC BK; SPROUTS |
| 258 | 9808 | PM 19 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 259 | 9809 | PM 12 | N | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 260 | 9810 | SLA PN 21 | Y | 30X18X18X24 | P | RUST CANKER @ 50-55 FEET; 1/2 STEM GIRDLED |
| 261 | 9813 | GUM 13 | Y | 6X18×18X6 | P | ONLY SPROUTS |
| 262 | 9814 | GUM 10 | Y | 18×0x18×24 | F | HEAVY SPROUTS |
| 263 | 9815 | GUM 12 | Y | 12X18×18X6 | F | HEAVY SPROUTS; SOME DIEBACK |


| 264 | 9816 | SLA PN 22 | N | $30 \times 12 \times 21 \times 15$ | F | SOME DEAD LIMBS; EDGE OF BUFFER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265 | 9817 | GUM 16 | N | 24×18X21×21 | F | HEAVY SPROUTS; DEAD LIMBS |
| 266 | 9818 | LOB PN 14 | Y | 18×21×18×12 | P | DYING TOP; 10 DEGREE LEAN > W |
| 267 | 9819 | GUM 16 | Y | 18×27X30×15 | F- | 6 FEET TO ROAD; LONG LIMBS; MANY SPROUTS |
| 268 | 9821 | PND PN 12 | N | 0x18×20x8 | P | SUPPRESSED; 3 LIVE LIMBS |
| 269 | 9822 | GUM 18 | N | $42 \times 36 \times 24 \times 30$ | F- | LIMBS > PARKING LOT; VERY LARGE LIMBS |
| 270 | 9823 | SLA PN 21 | N | $30 \times 15 \times 20 \times 18$ | F- | BLEEDING; TRUNK SWEEP > N |
| 271 | 9827 | PND PN 16 | N | $18 \times 15 \times 15 \times 21$ | F- | DOGLEG @ 50 FEET; CORKSCREW TOP; DEAD LIMBS |
| 272 | 9861 | SLA PN 20 | Y | 24×12X18×15 | G | 2 DEAD LIMBS |
| 273 | 9883 | SLA PN 21 | Y | $30 \times 18 \times 24 \times 30$ | F | SLICK BARK; BIG LIMBS |
| 274 | 9950 | PM S16 | Y | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 275 | 9951 | MAPLE 108 | Y | 24×12X24×10 | F | COD @ 2 FEET; INC BK; LIMBS RUBBING |
| 276 | 9952 | SLA PN 21 | Y | $30 \times 10 \times 24 \times 24$ | F- | RUST CANKER @ 30 FEET; BRANCH STUBS |
| 277 | 9971 | SLA PN 25 | Y | 28×24×8×24 | F | HOLDING DEAD LIMBS |
| 278 | 10082 | LO 23 | N | $18 \times 18 \times 42 \times 30$ | G | 10 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; SLIGHT DIEBACK |
| 279 | 10084 | LOB PN 21 | N | 18×24×12×28 | F | 5 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; BLEEDING TRUNK; DEAD BRANCHES |
| 280 | 10090 | SLA PN 21 | Y | $18 \times 8 \times 8 \times 20$ | F | SOME TRUNK SWEEP; YOUNGER THAN MOST PINES ON TRACT |
| 281 | 10092 | SLA PN 20 | Y | 24×18×24×6 | P | BAD RUST CANKER @ 25 FEET; FLAT TOP |
| 282 | 10093 | PM 19 | Y | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 283 | 10094 | PM S23 | Y | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 284 | 10097 | LOB PN 29 | Y | 18×18×24×28 | F | WOLF TREE (NOT RESTRICTED BY COMPETITION) |
| 285 | 10105 | PND PN17 21 | N | 18×10×15×18 | P | DECLINING; VERY THIN FOLIAGE |
| 286 | 10108 | GUM S7 | N | 6X8×14×6 | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; MOSTLY SPROUTS |
| 287 | 10109 | PND PN 16 | N | 12X10X18×8 | F- | 10 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; 15\% LIVE CROWN |


| 288 | 10111 | LVE OAK 6 | N | 16X8×12×16 | F | SUPPRESSED; SPROUTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289 | 10112 | SLA PN 22 | Y | 26×18×24×28 | F- | SLICK BARK; 15\% LIVE CROWN; LONG LIMBS |
| 290 | 10113 | GUM 8 | Y | 14X18X6X18 | F- | SUPPRESSED; LOTS OF SPROUTS |
| 291 | 10115 | SLA PN 21 | Y | 30x6×18×36 | F | SMALL RUST CANKER @ 25 FEET; THINNING |
| 292 | 10116 | LO 26 | Y | $30 \times 0 \times 0 \times 36$ | G | LIMBS > ROAD |
| 293 | 10118 | LOB PN 6 | Y | 6X6X6X6 | F- | SUPPRESSED, LIMITED LIFESPAN |
| 294 | 10119 | GUM 7 | Y | 10x6x8×12 | F- | VINES; SMALL CROWN |
| 295 | 10120 | GUM 10 | Y | 6X6X10×15 | F- | SUPPRESED; VINES |
| 296 | 10121 | LOB PN 7 | Y | $15 \times 15 \times 8 \times 10$ | P | BROKEN TOP; DECLINING |
| 297 | 10122 | LOB PN 15 | Y | 27X15X6X15 | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD |
| 298 | 10126 | PM 16 | Y | NM | F | PALMETTO |
| 299 | 10127 | WATO 14 | Y | 15×18×12×18 | F+ | SPROUTS; FULL CROWN |
| 300 | 10141 | LO 2721 | N | 24×30×40×8 | G | FEW DEAD LIMBS (PRUNE) |
| 301 | 10143 | LO 9 | N | 10x10x15×10 | F | FEW SPROUTS |
| 302 | 10144 | LO 9 | N | 8×12X8X24 | F | FEW SPROUTS |
| 303 | 10145 | PND PN 22 | N | 18×24×18×21 | F- | DOGLEG IN TOP; SOME DEAD BRANCHES; NO LOSS IF CUT |
| 304 | 10149 | PND PN 22 | N | $10 \times 30 \times 28 \times 30$ | P | RUST CANKER @ 30 FEET; RISK; FLAGGED ORANGE |
| 305 | 10152 | HOL 108 | N | 15×15×15×15 | F+ | 2 FEET TO HARDSCAPE |
| 306 | 10211 | HOL 87 | N | 8×12×20x0 | F | COD @ 2 FEET; INC BK |
| 307 | 10213 | GUM 13 | N | $12 \times 12 \times 8 \times 15$ | F- | BROKEN TOP; SPROUTS; 15 DEGREE LEAN > E |
| 308 | 10216 | GUM 13 | N | 10x18×18×30 | F- | DEAD LIMBS; MOSTLY SPROUTS; NO NEED TO KEEP |
| 309 | 10217 | GUM 16 | N | $18 \times 8 \times 24 \times 32$ | F | SOME DEAD LIMBS; SPROUTS |
| 310 | 10223 | SLA PN 22 | N | $12 \times 30 \times 30 \times 12$ | F | BLEEDING FROM TRUNK |
| 311 | 10224 | LO 15 | N | 20×20×12×36 | G | TRUNK SWEEP > E |


| 312 | 10227 | HOL 7 | N | $12 \times 12 \times 12 \times 12$ | F | COD @ 9 FEET; INC BK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 313 | 10228 | HOL 12 | N | 18×18×21×12 | F | COD @ 12 FEET; 3 TOPS |
| 314 | 10233 | HOL 11 | N | 12×10×15×15 | F- | SHALLOW ROOTS; SWEEP > W; COD @ 10 FEET; INC BK |
| 315 | 10235 | HOL 10 | N | 18×0×12×15 | F | COD @ 8 FEET; INC BK; SPROUTS |
| 316 | 10237 | GUM 18 | N | 24×21×30×25 | F- | GIRDLING ROOTS; MECHANICAL DAMAGE; SPROUTS |
| 317 | 10270 | WATO 17 | N | 30x10x28×8 | F- | LITTLE ROOT SPACE; SPROUTS; MECHANICAL INJURY |
| 318 | 10272 | LO 106 | N | $12 \times 15 \times 24 \times 10$ | F- | STRESSED; DIEBACK; COD @ 2 FEET WITH 3 TOPS |
| 319 | 10279 | GUM 20 | Y | 24×12X21×16 | F | THINNING HIGH IN CROWN; BIG LIMBS |
| 320 | 10280 | GUM 9 | Y | $8 \times 15 \times 12 \times 12$ | F | SUPPRESSSED; SPROUTS |
| 321 | 10281 | PND PN 23 | Y | 18×18×18×24 | F | 5 DEGREE LEAN > ROAD; LONG LIMBS; SOME DEAD |
| 322 | 10284 | PND PN 16 | Y | 18×10x30x0 | F | 15 DEGREE LEAN > S; BLEEDING |
| 323 | 10288 | LO 24 | Y | $24 \times 32 \times 20 \times 42$ | G | FEW DEAD BRANCHES (PRUNE) |
| 324 | 10351 | PND PN 15 | N | 15×8×15×6 | P | 5 \% LIVE CROWN; DECLINING |
| 325 | 10359 | PM 20 | Y | NM | F | NOT EVALUATED |
| 326 | 10360 | PND PN 15 | Y | 6X15×20x6 | P | VINES; SEVERE TRUNK SWEEP |
| 327 | 10363 | PND PN 12 | Y | $8 \times 10 \times 10 \times 15$ | P | 10 DEGREE LEAN > S |
| 328 | 10366 | LOB PN 16 | Y | NM | P | DEAD TREE; FLAGGED TO CUT |
| 329 | 10400 | HOL 9 | N | 6X12×12×6 | F- | 10 DEGREE LEAN > N; GIRDLING ROOTS; SPROUTS |
| 330 | 10401 | HOL 11 | N | 12×15×16×0 | F | SPROUTS; COD @ 6 FEET' ; INC BK |
| 331 | 10502 | LOB PN 12 | Y | 8×6X21×6 | P | TOP DEAD; VINES; BY WATER |
| 332 | 10504 | GUM 8 | Y | 12×10x18×6 | F+ | SUPPRESSED |
| 333 | 10514 | LOB PN 17 | Y | 21×6x21×8 | F | 10\% LIVE CROWN; SLICK BARK |
| 334 | 10515 | GUM 11 | Y | 12×12×21×6 | F- | 15 DEGREE LEAN > WATER; BORERS IN TRUNK; TOP BROKEN |
| 335 | 10516 | LOB PN 12 | Y | 12X12X21X0 | F | THIN TOP |


| 336 | 10518 | WATO 9 | Y | 8X12X18×6 | F- | SUPPRESSED; THINNING; COD @ 20 FEET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 337 | 10519 | LOB PN 20 | Y | 18×18×21×16 | F | CORKSCREW TRUNK; 25\% LIVE CROWN |
| 338 | 10520 | LAO 15 | Y | 15×12×18×16 | F+ | GOOD FOR LAUREL OAK |
| 339 | 10521 | LAO 10 | Y | 15×12×18×16 | F- | TOP BROKEN; LONG LIMBS OVER WATER |
| 340 | 10522 | LOB PN 10 | Y | 6X12X24×12 | F- | THINNING; 10\% LIVE CROWN |
| 341 | 10523 | LOB PN 14 | Y | 12×6x28×6 | F+ | 20\% LIVE CROWN; MOST TOP OVER WATER |
| 342 | 10525 | WATO 9 | Y | 6X6X24X6 | F- | CROWN BENT OVER WATER |
| 343 | 10526 | GUM 12 | Y | 18×16×21×18 | F | SCRAPING TREE \# 344 |
| 344 | 10528 | LOB PN 23 | Y | 18×18×18×18 | F+ | SLICK BARK; NICE PINE |
| 345 | 10530 | LAO 17 | Y | 20x18×24×6 | F- | TOP BROKEN OUT |
| 346 | 10531 | SLA PN 21 | Y | 21×24×32×28 | F+ | SLICK BARK; 30\% LIVE CROWN |
| 347 | 10532 | PND PN 18 | Y | 18×16X26X6 | F | DEAD BRANCHES; 10\% LIVE CROWN |
| 348 | 10533 | GUM 19 | Y | 21×10x21x6 | F- | SUPPRESSED; SPROUTS |
| 349 | 10534 | GUM 10 | Y | 12×8×16×6 | F- | SUPPRRESSED; HEAVY SPROUTS |
| 350 | 10536 | MAG 10 |  |  |  | SAME AS TREE \# 15 |
| 351 | 10537 | LAO 17 | Y | 16x8×32×8 | F- | HEAVY SPROUTS; THIN TOP; LONG LIMBS OVER WATER |
| 352 | 10626 | LO 17 S16 | N | 12X42×28×18 | G | IN GROUP OF 3 (352-354); COD 26 INCHES |
| 353 | 10627 | LO 1715 | N | $8 \times 24 \times 27 \times 30$ | G | IN GROUP OF 3 (352-354); COD @ 1FOOT |
| 354 | 10628 | LO 19 | N | $42 \times 0 \times 8 \times 30$ | G+ | IN GROUP OF 3 (352-354); COD @ 30 FEET |
| 355 | 10629 | GUM 13 | Y | 24×0x6X30 | F | SUPPRESSED; 15 DEGREE LEAN > E; listed as WAT OK |
| 356 | 10630 | GUM 14 | Y | $12 \times 18 \times 18 \times 18$ | F | 10 DEGREE LEAN > E |
| 357 | 10631 | PND PN 22 | Y | $15 \times 18 \times 15 \times 24$ | F | BLEEDING; VINES; DEAD LIMBS; 10 DEGREE LEAN > E |
| 358 | 10632 | GUM 5 | Y | 18×12×12×16 | P | SUPPRESSED; THIN TOP |
| 359 | 10633 | WATO 1613 | N | $8 \times 28 \times 28 \times 18$ | F- | COD @ 3 FEET; INC BK; SPROUTS; DIEBACK (CUT) |

TREE LABLELED 196 ACTUALLY TREE 186
TREE LABELED 375 ACTUALY TREE 196 TREE LABELED 186 ACTUALLY TREE 375 3 TREES LABELLED INCORECTYY IN FILLD (CORREGT IN TABEE AND MAP) ABBREVIATIONS USED: COD= CODOMINANT; INC BK= INCLUDED BARK COND= TREE CONDITION; G= GOOD, F= FAIR, P= POOR
NOTES = FIELD NOTES ON TREE DEFECTS AND CONDITIO D= DISTANCE FROM TREE TRUNK TO EAST EDGE OF TREE CROWN
COND= TREE CONDITION; G= GOOD, F= FAIR, $\mathrm{P}=$ POOR FROM TREE TRUNK TO NORTH EDGE OF CROWN; C= DISTANCE FROM WEST EDGE OF CROWN TO TREE TRUNK BFR; $Y=$ TREE IN BUFFER STRUP; $N=$ TREE NOT IN BUFFER STRIP
SPREAD = WIDTH OF CROWN (AXBXCXD); A= DISTANCE FROM S BFR; $Y=$ TREE IN BUFFER STRUP; $N=$ TREE NOT IN BUFFER STRIP \# = TREE NUMBER AS MARKED IN THE FIELD BY DENDRODIAGNOSTICS
ID= TREE DISIGNATION BY THOMAS AND HUTTON

| 375 | NONE | LO S20 | N | 15X28X20X42 | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# = TREE NUMBER AS MARKED IN THE FIELD BY DENDRODIAGNOSTICS |  |  |  |  |  |





| OT YO IVM | ヨNON | ZLE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\rightharpoonup}{\mid}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \|l|l|l|l| |




| \|w | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{z} \\ & \mathrm{Z} \\ & \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{z} \\ & \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |

36710641 PND PN 19

IN BAMBOO STAND; LONG LIMBS


| COD @ 1 FOOT; INC BK; BY CLUBHOUSE |
| :--- |
| DEAD TOP; MOSTLY SPROUTS |

THINNING; 15\% LIVE CROWN; BY CUBHOUSE (COULD CUT)

IN GROUP OF 3; COD @ 2 FEET; INC BK
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Tree \＃：} & 106 \text {（\＃8726 on Thomas and Hutton survey）} \\ \text { Species：} & \text { Cork Oak（Quercus suber）} \\ \text { DBH：} & 34 \text { inches } \\ \text { Height：} & 52 \text { feet } \\ \text { Spread：} & 30 \text { X } 35 \text { X } 24 \times 27 \text { feet }\end{array}$
 During that treatment，organic matter should be incorporated into the soil in the root zone soil under it should be decompacted with a high pressure air tool such as an Air Spade ${ }^{\text {tm }}$ remove dead wood and make clean cuts at points where branches shattered and split．The ถi meaning that the foliage was thin and only blocked $30 \%$ of the light falling on the crown．

 dead branches were being held in the crown．The tops and scaffold branches were long apparent and some of those were lying on the ground around the base of the tree．A few feet with 4 tops arising from that fork．Included bark was present between the forks．The
northernmost top had broken out，likely in Hurricane Matthew．Other branch breakage was those lateral roots were dead with slipping cortexes．The trunk was codominant at $5-8$
feet with 4 tops arising from that fork．Included bark was present between the forks．The lateral roots were in fair health with good mycorrhizal colonization．Approximately 15\％of was relatively undisturbed，at least recently．The buttress roots were sound and living


Latitude：32．20665 Longitude：－80．6886
30 X 42 X 38 X 45 feet 55 inches（multiple stems）
54 feet
$30 \times 42 \times 38 \times 45$ feet Live oak（Quercus virginiana） 102 （\＃8708 on Thomas and Hutton survey）

## Rare or Endangered trees

| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \overrightarrow{2} \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \\ & \underset{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 名 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罟 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 天 } \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 分 | $\sum_{\substack { \lambda \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{1{ \lambda \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { 1 } } \\ {\hline}\end{subarray}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & D \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S } \\ \underset{\sim}{c}}}{ }$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{\lambda}{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{\bar{E}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


 need to establish a tree protection zone around them. For an individual tree, that zone is considered to Trees to be protected are normally identified on your plans as such. If any trees are retained, you would 104.F.2). $20 \%$ or inimum setback from the tree's trunk where no paving or soil compaction is permitted (Sec. 16-6
 multiple stemmed Live Oak that is 55 inches in diameter. The other is a Cork oak which is 34 inches On your tract only 2 trees might be considered specimen or rare trees under those regulations. One is a 104.F). A specimen tree is a tree of any species designated as endangered, threatened or rare, or any tree
of a species designated in Table 16-6-104.F.1, whose DBH is equal or greater than published standards. Specimen trees are considered especially valuable and carry their own set of regulations (Sec. 16-6
 protection plans (Sec. 16-6-104.C). In general, most trees larger than 6 inches DBH are considered
protected and cannot be damaged or cut without a permit (Sec. 16-6-104.1). Buffers are required, development plan (Sec. 16-2-103.K). That plan should include a tree survey, tree inventory and tree


## Zoning Code as it Affects Tree Removal and Mitigation

## suo! ериәшшоэәу pue suo!sn|गuoว
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 this tract. It had been listed as a Live oak in the tree survey, but was actually a Cork oak as



33.97962 Longitude: -81.02882 (same as tree \#1)
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## 


 paid in lieu of planting (Sec. 16-6-104.L). The amount of this payment is determined by the Town and







 surface remain or be planted after construction. This is based on varying percentages for different species Hilton Head requires that a minimum of 900 adjusted caliper inches (ACI) of trees per acre of perviou
The City should give you a written permit for tree removals once they have approved your landscape plan
and other documentation. No trees of any condition or size should before you have written permission. inside that area (Sec. 16-6-104.4.a-f).
washouts, debris burning, trenching or soil disturbance is allowe upon project completion.
 104.J.3a). Acceptable fencing includes 4 foot high orange laminate mesh, or more durable material (Sec
 sprout branches. A normal branch has an attachment to the center of the stem so that each year when the other stresses (like drought or root loss) stimulate small, latent buds under the bark to grow, forming


 decline can hasten until all stored energy is exhausted and tree death occurs. This is affecting many of the vigorous enough to attack a healthy tree. As these insects and diseases destroy additional tissue, the mear ention in energy is utilized by opportunitic insects and diseases that would not ordinaily be crown. This condition is generally called a decline spiral, since root death leads to top death. Top death trees. As the roots die, the top of that tree will die back and dead limbs will be observed in the tree's Soil compaction, root infection and subsequent loss causes symptoms that appear in the tops of affected
IIP
weakening the trunk (and/ or the roots) until a storm (or eventually just gravity) causes the tree to fail and
fall.
 strength, it is more difficult for them to support the weight of the trunk and crown above them. As long as in some manner. They germinate there and grow into the tree's tissue. These organisms grow quite
slowly, but over time they will erode the strength of the wood or the roots. As the trunk or roots lose decay. They infect a tree by means of airborne spores that land on an area of the tree that has been injured
in some manner. They germinate there and grow into the tree's tissue. These organisms grow quite slowly degenerate the tree's tissue. Among the second group are the fungi that cause root rot and wood on tissues created by its respiration. The most serious of these pests can kill trees outright, but many Various insect and disease pests can invade a tree where they feed on the sugars produced by the tree or
 diameter. This condition, called included bark, prevents the wood of the stems from forming a tight diameter. This condition, called included bark, prevents the wood of the stems from forming a tight
 that predispose it to trunk breakage or other types of catastrophic failure (thereby causing risk to people or A tree can appear to be fairly healthy (at least to an untrained observer), but can have structural defects
be healthy
they are used for growth or stored for later use. If all these parts are functioning well, the tree is deemed to
 When evaluating urban trees, it is important to look both at the tree's health and its structure. Health is a
 photosynthesis. Sugars produced in this manner are then transported back down the trunk through a laye creators in a tree and use chlorophyll, water and sunlight to produce sugars in a process called


 process, but ignore damage that happens later. Installation of underground utilities and irrigation requires often, I see contractors or homeowners spend time and money on tree protection during the building Any trees retained on the site, or new ones you plant will need protection, even after construction. Too uprooting.

 trees that stay small when mature (like Japanese maple or palms) can be planted a bit closer than that, but In general, I do not recommend retaining large trees during construction if they will be within ten feet of a failure (for example: from uprooting, trunk breakage or falling branches)
 moderate human activity on this site, so the danger of personal damage is not extreme (few targets). Once becomes a risk since its failure could cause personal injury or property destruction. Presently, there
 order for the tree to constitute a potential risk, it must have a defect that makes it more likely to fail plus trees on your tract.


 and that can often be what causes it to lean. Unfortunately, with the passage of time the center of gravity
(or anything under the tree) like spears. Most of the hardwoods on your site have sprouts to some degree.
 sprout limbs have a less strong connection to the tree (since the sprouts originate directly under the bark)





 sacrifice those trees for buildings
 marginal to poor condition and would be less likely to survive the stresses of nearby construction. The Pines and palms only receive $50 \%$ credit in ACI calculations. Additionally, most pines on the site are in sacrificed, if necessary, should their space be needed in planning for hardscapes
 groups of trees are easier to protect than individual trees. Live oak is favored in ACI calculations since it

Live oaks should be retained, to the extent possible. Most of these are in groves of multiple trees. Such
-едле
 There is a $20-40$ foot tree buffer around the perimeter of the site. All trees in that buffer (which do not
pose undue risk) should be retained. Preliminary calculations show that over 2000 ACI of trees are space for these 2 trees. DBH Live oak and a 34 inch DBH Cork oak. The planning for development should include adequat By statute, specimen and rare or endangered trees must be retained. On this tract that includes a 55 inch



[^0]
## 

trees carry a slight risk of failure, but even healthy trees can be compromised by high winds or othe
extreme weather. maintenance, it cannot be entirely mitigated without removing all trees and their roots on the site. Healthy
 risk of shallow roots tripping pedestrians. Some degree of risk is inherent in having any trees in close
 chance of survival or failure of your trees. All trees pose some degree of risk. Those risks fall into several епџэ әчł оџ se ‘’!̣! All tree evaluations were performed from ground level with only visible and accessible portions of trees
being checked. All recommendations were made in good faith backed by scientific arboriculture and




History: (known disturbances, nearby tree failures):

$$
\text { Twigs and Leaves: (color, distribution, size, wilting, thinning, I\&D): \{1-4 each, (8 total)\}: }
$$

Twigs and Leaves: (color, distribution, size, wilting, thinning, I\&D): $\{1-4$ each, (8 total) $\}$ :___


## 






SITE ANALYSIS























## general planting / irrigation notes:




3. MEASUREESNO







". no excavatoon or blanting pit shall be left unatienodo ovemencht

a

14. Remove paper. PLastr
16. water all plant materal mmedately af er planinge

- ree curng materal. shall eg arbor-tie or eouvalent
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23. Au trees shal be mstaule






|  | Lama | ovv | Crasen wemot | Oesespron | \% | mp | menam | Lemame | w | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | A | ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | в | - |  | ASL EML25. LARGE BELL SHAPE CAST ALUMINUM HSG. WITH LED MODULE, TYPE 2, 4000K, 480VOLT DRIVERS. MOUNTED ON 14 FT WOODEN POLE. | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{40}$ |  | ${ }^{202}$ | 092 | ${ }^{2228}$ |


| Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Symbol | Avg | Max | Min | Max/ Min | Avg/ Min |  | OOTCANDLE VALUES ARE MAINTAINED |
| Parking/ driveway | + | 2.02 fc | 8.18 fc | 0.01 fc | 818.0:1 | 202.0: |  |  |

:
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(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building- } 1 \text { st Floor Plan }}{\text { scalE } 1126^{6}=1 \cdot 1 \cdot \sigma}$


(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building-2nd Floor Plan }}{\text { scalk in } 116^{6}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$


Resort Community

(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building-3rd Floor Plan }}{\text { scate: } 1 / 6^{6}=1 \cdot-00^{\prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building-Roof Plan }}{\text { scalE: } 116^{\prime \prime}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$

(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building-Front and Left Elevation }}{\text { sCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Hardie Lap Siding- Montery Taupe
3-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Monterey Taupe
5-Vents- White
5-Vents- White
6-Reclaimed Woo
6-Reclaimed Wood
7-Metal Roof- Silver
8-Main Roof- Dual Grey Architectural Shingle
9-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
-Brick accent bands- soldier course (Palmetto Brick Riviera)
11-All trim and columns- White
11-All trim and cols
12-Rails- Silver
3-Exposed truss- wood to match reclaimed wood
4-Shutters- Silver
15-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood 16-Brackets- White (wood to match reclaimed wood @ trellised Patio
17-Decorative metal grate with climbing flowers/ vines

(1) $\frac{13 \text { Unit Building- Rear and Right Elevation }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}}$

Progress Design Studi


(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building-1st Floor Plan }}{\text { scalt } 116^{6}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building-2nd Floor Plan }}{\text { scalE 1116 }}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | Resort Community | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building-3rd Floor Plan }}{\text { scate } 1 / 16=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | Resort Community




| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
-Hardie Lap Siding- Montery Taupe
3-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Monterey Taupe
5-Vents- White
6-Reclaimed Wood
7-Metal Roof- Silve
8-Main Roof- Dual Grey Architectural Shingle
9-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
10-Brick accent bands- soldier courses- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
1-All trim and columns- White
12-Rails- Silver
3-Exposed truss- wood to match reclaimed wood
4-Shutters- Silver
5-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood
16-Brackets- White (wood to match reclaimed wood @ trellised Patio 17-Decorative metal grate with climbing flowers/ vines


(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building- Front and Left Elevation }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | natekeng@@rogesessdesignstudio.con

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Hardie Lap Siding- Montery Taupe
3-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Monterey Taupe
5-Vents- White
6-Reclaimed Wood
7-Metal Roof- Silver
8-Main Roof- Dual Grey Architectural Shingle
9-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
10-Brick accent bands- soldier courses- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
11-All trim and columns- White
12-Rails- Silver
13-Exposed truss- wood to match reclaimed wood
14-Shutters- Silver
15-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood 16-Brackets- White (wood to match reclaimed wood @ trellised Patio 17-Decorative metal grate with climbing flowers/ vines

(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building- Rear and Right Elevation }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | aaketingeprogesessesignsusulic.com


(1) $\frac{16 \text { Unit Building-Folly Field Road Setback }}{\text { scale: } 116^{\prime}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building-1st Floor Plan }}{\text { scalle: } 11^{6}=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0^{\prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resort Community |  |  |


(1) 30 Unit Building-2nd Floor Plan

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building- 3rd Floor Plan }}{\text { scalk } 1465=1 . r}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resort Community |  |  |

Resort Community

(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building-4th Floor Plan }}{\text { scale in } 16^{6}=1 \cdot 1 \cdot T^{\prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building- Roof Plan }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime \prime}}$

HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss
3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage
4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
5-Hardie Vertical Siding- Heathered Moss
6-Hardie Vertical Siding- Mountain Sage
7-Reclaimed Wood Exterior
8-Metal Roof- Silver
9-Main Roof- Dual Grey Architectural Shingle
10-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
11-Brick accent bands- soldier courses- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
12-All trim and columns- White
13-Rails- Silver (horizontal where shown)
14-Exposed truss- wood to match reclaimed wood
15-Shutters- Silver
16-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood
17-Brackets- White (wood to match reclaimed wood @ trellised Patio 18-Decorative metal grate with climbing flowers/ vines
19-Vents- White

(1) 30 Unit Building- Front and Left Elevation

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

16-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood

(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building-Rear and Right Elevation }}{\text { SCALEE }^{1116^{\circ}} 1 \cdot \frac{1 \cdot \sigma}{\circ}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Building-Folly Field Road Setback }}{\text { scate: } 116^{\prime \prime}=1: 0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) 30 Unit Alternate Building-1st Floor Plan

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building- 2nd Floor Plan }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1-1^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building- 3rd Floor Plan }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{-}-0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building- 4th Floor Plan }}{\frac{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}}{}=1^{1}-0^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { Perpendicular to Folly Field Road }}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resort Community |  |  |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building- Front and Left Elevation }}{\text { sCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{1}-0^{\prime \prime}}$
$\square$ 77 Folly Field Road
Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss
-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage
4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
5-Hardie Vertical Siding- Heathered Moss
6 -Hardie Vertical Siding- Mountain Sage
7-Reclaimed Wood Exterior
-Metal Roof- Silver
-Main Roof- Dual Grey Architectural Shingle
10-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
11-Brick accent bands- soldier courses- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera) 2-All trim and columns- White
13-Rails- Silver (horizontal where shown)
14-Exposed truss- wood to match reclaimed wood
15-Shutters- Silver
16-Trellis + Columns at porch- wood to match reclaimed wood 7-Brackets- White (wood to match reclaimed wood @ trellised Patio 18-Decorative metal grate with climbing flowers/ vines
19-Vents- White

(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building- Rear and Right Elevation }}{\text { SCALE: } 1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{-0}-0^{\prime \prime}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) $\frac{30 \text { Unit Alternate Building-Folly Field Road Setback }}{\text { SCALE: } 11 / 6^{\prime \prime}=11^{1-0}}$


Resort Community




1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth 2-Hardie Lap Siding-Heathered Moss 3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage 4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth 5-Metal Roof- Silver
6-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
7-All trim and columns- White
8-Rails- Silver
9-Shutters- Silver
10-Brackets- white
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(1) $\frac{\text { Clubhouse Front and Left Elevation }}{\operatorname{scalEE}: 332^{2}=1 \cdot-0 \cdot 0}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss 3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage 4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth 5-Metal Roof- Silver
6-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera) 7-All trim and columns- White 8-Rails- Silver
9-Shutters- Silver
10-Brackets- white

(1) Clubhouse Rear and Right Elevation

aaketingeprogesessesignsusulic.com

(1) $\frac{\text { Maintenance Building Floor Plan }}{\operatorname{scalLE} 33^{2}=1 \cdot 0^{\circ}}$

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth 2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss 3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage 4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth 5-Metal Roof- Silver 6-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera) 7-All trim and columns- White

(1) $\frac{\text { Maintenance }}{\operatorname{scalEE}: 33^{2}=1: 0^{\prime}}$ Building Front and Left Elevation


Resort Community

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth 2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss 3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage 4-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
5-Hardie Vertical Sidid
6-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
7-All trim and columns- White

(1) Maintenance Building Rear and Right Elevation


Resort Community

(1) $\frac{\text { Fitness Center Plan }}{\text { scalle: } 332^{2}=1 \cdot{ }^{1 / \sigma}}$

HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC
77 Folly Field Road Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina

(1) Fitness Center Front and Left Elevation

| HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC | 77 Folly Field Road | Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1-Hardie Lap Siding- Sail Cloth 2-Hardie Lap Siding- Heathered Moss 3-Hardie Lap Siding- Mountain Sage 3-Hardie Lap Siding-Mountain Sage 4-Hardie Vertical Sidi

(1) $\frac{\text { Fitness Center Rear and Right Elevation }}{\operatorname{sch} A E=33 z^{2}=1: 0^{\prime \prime}}$


Resort Community


1-Hardie Vertical Siding- Sail Cloth
2-Metal Roof- Silver
3-Brick- (Palmetto Brick- Riviera)
4-All trim and columns- White

(1) Picnic Shelter Elevation

HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC
77 Folly Field Road Town of Hilton Head, South Carolina



HH Island Acquisition Partners, LLC
77 Folly Field Road

(2) Unit B-2 Floor Plan (42) Units on Site

(1) Unit C-1 Floor Plan SCALE: $1 /$ /n $^{\prime \prime}=1$ 1-0"
(14) UET SF $=1,916$ S.

## DESIGN TEAM/DRB COMMENT SHEET

The comments below are staff recommendations to the Design Review Board (DRB) and do NOT constitute DRB approval or denial.

## PROJECT NAME: 15 Wimbledon DRB\#: DRB-001339-2018

DATE: 05/30/2018
RECOMMENDATION: Approval $\quad \square \quad$ Approval with Conditions $\boxtimes$ Denial $\square$

## RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS:

1. Light sources shall not exceed 3000K.
2. The light plan exceeds the average foot candle requirement.
3. Please provide a color board for review at the Final submittal.
4. The top of the elevator tower seems out of place. Consider alternatives to better incorporate it into the mass of the building.
5. Increasing the $4 / 12$ roof slope to increase the visibility of the roof.
6. Delete all the gingerbread millwork.

## ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

| ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | Complies <br> Yes | No | Not Applicable | Comments or Conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DESIGN GUIDE/LMO CRITERIA | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | Consider increasing the $4 / 12$ roof slope to increase the <br> visibility of the roof. |
| Minimum roof pitch of 6/12 | $\square$ | $\boxtimes$ | $\square$ | Delete all the gingerbread millwork. |
| Details are clean, simple and appropriate while avoiding <br> excessive ornamentation | $\square$ |  |  |  |

## MISC COMMENTS/QUESTIONS

1. Light sources shall not exceed 3000K.
2. The light plan exceeds the average foot candle requirement.
3. Please provide a color board for review at the Final submittal.
4. The top of the elevator tower seems out of place. Consider alternatives that better incorporate it into the general mass of the building.

[^0]:    knowledge and belief, and that they are made in good faith.

